Roe v. Frito-Lay, In	¢.	Doc
1		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		
3		
4		
5		
6	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	JANE ROE,	Case No. 3:14-cy-00751 HSG
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING FRITO-LAY'S
11	V.	MOTION FOR COUNSEL TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY AT
12		THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
13	FRITO-LAY, INC., a foreign corporation doing business in California, and DOES 1 through 10,	CONTENENCE
13	inclusive,	Date: January 5, 2016 Time: 2:00 p.m.
15	Defendants.	Courtroom: 15
		The Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
16		1
17	Comment for Defendant Frite	Las Inc. Camanda D. Handa 'a
18	Counsel for Defendant Frito-Lay, Inc., Samantha D. Hardy, is	
19	permitted to appear telephonically at the Case Management Conference for	
20	January 5, 2016, at 2:00 p.m. Counsel shall contact CourtCall at (866) 582-6878 to	
21	make arrangements for the telephonic app	bearance.
22	ALIA GO ODDEDED	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	D . 1 D . 1 . 20 . 2015	1 10 111 1
25	Dated: December 29, 2015	Maywood S. Hill
26	JÜ	DGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
27		
28		
	SMRH:474328181.1	-1- Case No. 3:14-cv-00751 HSG G MOT FOR COUNSEL TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY
		5 MOTION COUNDED TO MILEM TELLITIONICALLI

Doc. 61

Dockets.Justia.com