Roe v. Frito-Lay, In	¢.	Doc 91	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	JANE ROE,	Case No. 3:14-CV-00751 HSG	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER FINDING GOOD CAUSE	
13	v.	TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS PAST THE CURRENTLY-SCHEDULED DESCRIPTIONS PAST	
	FRITO-LAY, INC., a foreign	DISCOVERY CUT-OFF	
14 15	FRITO-LAY, INC., a foreign corporation doing business in California, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. Haywood Gilliam, Jr. Courtroom: 15	
16	Defendants.	Trial Datas December 12, 2016	
17		Trial Date: December 12, 2016 Complaint Filed: December 20, 2013	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
Case No. 3:14-cv-00751 HS			
	SMRH:476942613.1 [PROPOSED] ORDER FINDING GOOD CAUSE TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS		

Dockets.Justia.com

1	Having considered Plaintiff and Defendant's Stipulated Request for an Order
2	Finding Good Cause to Take PMK Deposition past the currently-scheduled
3	Discovery Cut-Off, the Court finds that good cause exists to allow the deposition of
4	Defendant Frito-Lay, Inc.'s Person Most Knowledgeable to go forward past the
5	currently-scheduled discovery cut-off.
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff be permitted to take the deposition
7	of Defendant's Person Most knowledgeable, as ordered by Judge Westmore on
8	April 26, 2016 (Doc. 83), beyond the currently-scheduled cut-off date of May 5,
9	2016, on or before May 20, 2016.
10	
11	Dated: May 3, 2016
12	
13	By Harwood & Hell
14	THE HONORABLE HAYWOOD S. CHELIAM, JR
15	United States District Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	