OLIVIER A. TAILLIEU (SBN 206546) o@taillieulaw.com RAFFI V. ZEROUNIAN (SBN 236388) rz@taillieulaw.com THE TAILLIEU LAW FIRM LLP 450 N. Roxbury Drive, Suite 700 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Telephone: (310) 651-2440 Facsimile: (310) 651-2439 Attorneys for Plaintiff The Regents of the University of California 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF Case No. 3:14-cv-00885-VC CALIFORNIA, a California corporation, SECOND JOINT STIPULATION 11 Plaintiff, **PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULES 16-2** 12 AND 7-12 TO CONTINUE CASE V. MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND 13 RELATED DEADLINES AND THE CORPORATE EXECUTIVE BOARD (PROPOSED) ORDER AS MODIEFIED COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, and 14 DOES 1-10, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 16-2 and 7-12, plaintiff The Regents of the University of 19 California ("Plaintiff") and defendant The Corporate Executive Board Company d/b/a CEB 20 ("Defendant") hereby jointly request that the Court continue the Case Management Conference 21 currently set for June 27, 2014 at 10:30 a.m. to August 29, 2014 at 10:30 a.m., or as soon 22 thereafter as counsel may be heard, with the initial case management and ADR deadlines rescheduled accordingly from the new Case Management Conference date. 23 24 Procedural Background 25 Plaintiff filed its Complaint against Defendant on February 27, 2014. On the same day, U.S. Magistrate Judge Nathanael Cousins issued his Order Setting Initial Case Management 26 27 Conference and ADR Deadlines, which set the initial Case Management Conference on May 28, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. On March 28, 2014, Plaintiff filed a Declination to Magistrate Judge 28

SECOND STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT

CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 3:14-cv-00885-VC

Jurisdiction, and this case was reassigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria on May 7, 2014. On May 12, 2014, this Court issued its Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference, which set the initial Case Management Conference on June 27, 2014 at 10:30 a.m.

Reasons for Request to Continue Case Management Conference Dates

Good cause exists for this requested continuance, which would allow Plaintiff and
Defendant to continue to direct their resources toward a settlement of this action. Plaintiff held off
on serving the Complaint upon Defendant to allow the parties to focus on settlement. Since
Plaintiff filed its lawsuit, the parties have devoted considerable energy, including numerous
settlement conferences between the parties' principals, in an effort to reach an amicable resolution
of their dispute. Although differences remain between Plaintiff and Defendant, the parties will
continue to have further settlement discussions over the next month or so. If the dispute is not
resolved within that timeframe, Plaintiff will serve the Complaint. Until then, the parties would
prefer to devote their energy and resources on working towards an amicable resolution of the
dispute rather than focusing on litigation.

Defendant makes this stipulation without prejudice to or waiver of any of its claims or defenses in this action, including those that Defendant may assert in response to Plaintiff's Complaint.

Prior Time Modifications and Effect of Requested Modification

On May 6, 2014, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation Pursuant to Local Rules 16-2 and 7-12 to Continue Case Management Conference and Related Deadlines and [Proposed] Order (Dkt. 8), but the [Proposed] Order was never signed. The stipulated extension will have no effect on the rest of the schedule in this action.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties to this action, through their respective counsel AGREE TO AND HEREBY STIPULATE to reschedule the Case Management Conference and related deadlines as follows:

1	1. the Case Management Conference currently set for June 27, 2014 at 10:30 a.m. shall be	
2	continued to August 29, 2014 at 10.30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be	
3	heard; and):00
4	2. the initial case management and ADR deadlines shall be rescheduled accordingly from	
5	the new Case Management Conference date.	
6	Dated: June, 2014	Respectfully submitted:
7		THE TAILLIEU LAW FIRM LLP
8		Olivier A. Taillieu Raffi V. Zerounian
9		
10	D	(-1)A
11	Ву:	Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	g	The Regents of the University of California
13		KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
14		CLAUDIA RAY DALE CENDALI, P.C
15		
16	Ву:	
17		Attorneys for Defendant The Corporate Executive Board Company d/b/a
18		CEB
19		
20	-{PROPOSED ORDER AS MODIFIED	
21	CES DISTRICS	
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: June ¹¹ , 2014	IT IS SO ORDERED A
25		
26		The H Z hit Judge Vince Chhabria
27		Judge VIII
28		NT CONFERENCE CT RICT-ONE -VC
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEME AND IPROPOSEDI ORDER	NT CONFERENCE COPYS TO THE COURSES-VC
l		