

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 In Re.

No. C 14-951 SI (pr)

9 MARCO A. GOMEZ
10 (CDCR # T-07566),


ORDER OF DISMISSAL

11 Plaintiff.
12 _____/

13 Plaintiff sent to the court a letter complaining about the perceived unfairness of California
14 sentencing laws that were applied to him. In an effort to protect his rights, a new action was
15 opened and the letter was filed on February 28, 2014. Plaintiff was informed that he had not
16 filed a complaint or habeas petition, and was given 28 days to do so or the action would be
17 dismissed. He also was sent a notice that he had not paid the filing fee or applied for leave to
18 proceed *in forma pauperis*, and was given 28 days to either pay the fee or file the application or
19 the action would be dismissed. Plaintiff did not file a complaint or habeas petition, nor did he
20 file an *in forma pauperis* application or pay the fee. This action therefore is DISMISSED
21 without prejudice for failure to file a pleading showing the court has subject matter jurisdiction.
22 The clerk shall close the file.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: April 15, 2014



25 SUSAN ILLSTON
26 United States District Judge
27
28