

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OTIS MIGUEL CRAWFORD,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
RANDY GROUNDS,  
Defendant.

Case No. [14-cv-00958-JD](#)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 1, 2

On February 28, 2014, petitioner filed a motion for an extension of time to file a petition of writ of habeas corpus and indicated that he was still trying to exhaust his state remedies. Petitioner was informed that he must file a petition within twenty-eight days or the case would be dismissed. The time period has passed and petitioner has not filed a petition. Petitioner has filed a letter stating that he has not had meaningful access to the law library. However, access to the law library is not the proper subject of a habeas action. Regardless, it does not appear that petitioner has exhausted his state court remedies that is required to file a federal petition. This action is dismissed without prejudice and petitioner may re-file his petition when it is ready.

**CONCLUSION**

1. The motion for an extension (Docket No. 1) is DENIED.
2. Leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket No. 2) is GRANTED.
3. This case is DISMISSED without prejudice.

///  
///  
///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Because reasonable jurists would not find the result here debatable, a certificate of appealability (“COA”) is DENIED. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000) (standard for COA). The clerk shall close the file.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: May 2, 2014



---

JAMES DONATO  
United States District Judge