

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
10

11 NATASHA A. RIDDICK,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MARK ZUCKERBERG,

15 Defendant.
16

Case No. 14-cv-00966 NC

ORDER DISMISSING CASE

Re: Dkt. No. 10

17
18 On April 9, 2014, the Court denied without prejudice Riddick's IFP application as
19 incomplete. Dkt. No. 6. The Court gave Riddick until April 23, 2014, to either file an
20 amended IFP application, or pay the filing fee. *Id.* On May 12, 2014, the Clerk of the
21 Court issued a notice informing Riddick that the filing fee must be paid immediately. Dkt.
22 No. 8. Riddick has failed to pay the filing fee or file an amended IFP application. Riddick
23 also failed to appear at the case management conference set for June 4, 2014.

24 On June 6, 2014, the Court ordered Riddick by June 20, 2014, to (1) pay the filing
25 fee; (2) file an amended IFP application correcting the deficiencies identified in the Court's
26 April 9 order; or (3) show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed for her
27 failure to pay the filing fee and failure to prosecute this action. Dkt. No. 10. The Court
28 further cautioned Riddick that her failure to respond will result in dismissal of this action.

Case No. 14-cv-00966 NC
ORDER DISMISSING CASE

1 To date, Riddick has failed to respond or comply with the Court's orders. Because
2 Riddick has consented to proceed before a magistrate judge and defendant has not been
3 served, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

4 Accordingly, the Court dismisses this case without prejudice.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Date: June 24, 2014

7 
8 _____
9 Nathanael M. Cousins
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28