

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 BRIGHTEDGE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
4 Plaintiff,
5 v.
6 SEARCHMETRICS, GMBH., et al.,
7 Defendants.
8

Case No. [14-cv-01009-WHO](#) (MEJ)

**ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR
CLARIFICATION**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 78, 81-82

9 On October 7, 2014, the Court ordered Searchmetrics to produce certain CRM database
10 documents, nonprivileged email responsive to BrightEdge's document requests, and 19 categories
11 of documents referenced in the parties' previous discovery letters. Dkt. No.78. The Court advised
12 the parties that if BrightEdge was not satisfied by Searchmetrics' production, they should further
13 meet and confer in compliance with the undersigned's Discovery Standing Order. The Court is
14 now in receipt of Searchmetrics' request for clarification regarding the scope of the Court's order
15 (Dkt. No. 81), as well as BrightEdge's response (Dkt. No. 82). Having reviewed the parties'
16 submissions, the Court clarifies as follows:

- 17 1) Production shall be pursuant to the Ediscovery model order. The parties shall meet
18 and confer with regard to the required stipulation by October 23, 2014.
- 19 2) Searchmetrics will provide all discovery discussed in the joint letters (including the
20 emails and the 19 categories in dispute) by October 30, 2014, without further delay.
21 The parties shall meet and confer in person no later than November 14th to discuss
22 any further email or document production requested by BrightEdge. If the parties
23 cannot agree on further production, the parties shall prepare a further joint letter to
24 be submitted to the Court by November 20, 2014.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: October 16, 2014

27 
28 _____
MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge