

1 JOHN C. TANG, State Bar No. 212371
 jctang@jonesday.com
 2 **JONES DAY**
 3 555 California Street, 26th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 4 Telephone: (415) 875-5892
 Fax: (415) 875-5700
 5

6 **PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,**
WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
 7 Daniel J. Kramer
 dkramer@paulweiss.com
 8 Robert N. Kravitz
 rkravitz@paulweiss.com
 9 1285 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10019-6064
 10 Telephone: (212) 373-3000
 11 Fax: (212) 757-3990

- and -

12 Alex Young K Oh
 aoh@paulweiss.com
 13 2001 K Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20006-1047
 14 Telephone: (202) 223-7300
 15 Fax: (202) 223-7420

16 Attorneys for Defendant
 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corp.
 17

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

21 RICHARD HAYES, Individually and on Behalf of
 22 All Other Persons Similarly Situated,
 23 Plaintiff,
 24 v.
 25 MAGNACHIP SEMICONDUCTOR CORP., SANG
 26 PARK, TAE YOUNG HWANG, and MARGARET
 SAKAI,
 27 Defendants.
 28

CASE NO. 3:14-cv-01160-JST
 STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANTS'
 TIME TO RESPOND TO CLASS
 ACTION COMPLAINT AND
 CONTINUING CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND
 HEARING ON MOTION TO
 APPROVE LEAD PLAINTIFF

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
 AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND HEARING ON MOTION TO APPROVE LEAD PLAINTIFF

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Richard Hayes (“Plaintiff”) filed a purported Class Action
2 Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the “Complaint”) against Defendants
3 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corp. (“MagnaChip”), Sang Park, Tae Young Hwang, and Margaret
4 Sakai (collectively, “Defendants”) in the above-captioned matter on March 12, 2014;

5 WHEREAS, based on the date on which the Complaint was served on
6 MagnaChip, Magnachip’s response to the Complaint is currently due on or before June 3, 2014;

7 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court’s March 12, 2014 Order Setting Initial Case
8 Management Conference and ADR Deadlines, the Initial Case Management Conference is
9 scheduled for June 11, 2014;

10 WHEREAS, the Complaint sets forth claims under the federal securities laws that
11 are subject to the procedural requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of
12 1995 (the “PSLRA”), including those set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4;

13 WHEREAS, the PSLRA requires that, after filing a securities class action, the
14 plaintiff must give notice of the action to allow other interested shareholders the opportunity to
15 file motions for appointment as lead plaintiff (see 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)) and, thereafter, that
16 the Court appoint a lead plaintiff;

17 WHEREAS, on May 12, 2014, Keith Thomas moved for appointment as lead
18 plaintiff, responses to the motion are due by May 27, 2014, and the Court set July 3, 2014 as the
19 date for the hearing on the motion;

20 WHEREAS, the PSLRA provides that, upon the filing of a motion to dismiss by
21 the defendants in a private securities fraud action, all discovery and other proceedings shall be
22 stayed during the pendency of such motion (see 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B));

23 WHEREAS, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has ruled
24 that the PSLRA stay of discovery during the pendency of a motion to dismiss includes a stay of
25 initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (see
26 *Medhekar v. U.S. Dist. Court for the N. Dist. of Calif.*, 99 F.3d 325 (9th Cir. 1996));

1 WHEREAS, to avoid unnecessary expenditure of judicial resources and effort by
2 the parties and the Court, counsel for Plaintiff and MagnaChip have agreed (1) that Defendants
3 do not need to respond to the Complaint, or to any other related class action complaint that may
4 be filed in or transferred to this Court (“Related Action”) prior to the Court’s appointment of lead
5 plaintiff and (2) to continue the Initial Case Management Conference until after a lead plaintiff
6 has been appointed to represent the alleged class; and

7 WHEREAS the parties wish to move the hearing on the lead plaintiff motion to a
8 more convenient date;

9 NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by the undersigned
10 counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for MagnaChip as follows:

11 1. Defendants are not required to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint or to
12 any Related Action by June 3, 2014, or at any time prior to the Court’s appointment of a lead
13 plaintiff.

14 2. The hearing on the motion to appoint lead plaintiff and approval of lead counsel
15 previously scheduled for July 3, 2014 shall be continued to July 10, 2014, at 2:00 PM in
16 Courtroom 9, 19th Floor, San Francisco.

17 3. Within ten (10) days after the entry an order appointing lead plaintiff(s) and lead
18 counsel in the above-captioned action (or a consolidated action encompassing the above-
19 captioned action) pursuant to the PSLRA, the lead plaintiff(s) and Defendants shall confer and
20 propose to the Court dates by which lead plaintiff(s) shall either: (a) serve and file an amended
21 or consolidated class action complaint that shall serve as the operative complaint in the action
22 and shall supersede any other complaints filed in and/or transferred to this Court, or (b) notify
23 counsel for Defendants that the original complaint filed in this action shall be the operative
24 complaint in the action.

25 4. If lead plaintiff(s) serves and files an amended or consolidated complaint,
26 Defendants shall have sixty (60) days following service to move or answer in response to that
27 complaint. If lead plaintiff(s) notifies Defendants that the original complaint shall serve as the
28

1 dkramer@paulweiss.com
rkravitz@paulweiss.com
2 – and –
3 Alex Young K Oh*
2001 K Street, NW
4 Washington, DC 20006-1047
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
5 Fax: (202) 223-7420
aoh@paulweiss.com

6 (*Pro Hac Vice applications forthcoming)

7
8 Attorneys for Defendant MagnaChip
Semiconductor Corp.

9 I, John C. Tang, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
10 *STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO*
11 *RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT*
12 *CONFERENCE AND HEARING TO APPROVE LEAD PLAINTIFF.* In compliance with Civil
13 L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the signatory below has concurred in this filing.

14
15 Dated: May 21, 2014

16 POMERANTZ LLP
600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor
17 New York, New York 10016
18 Telephone: (212) 661-1100
Fax: (212) 661-8665

19
20 By: /s/ Jeremy A. Lieberman
Jeremy A. Lieberman
21 Lesley F. Portnoy
jalieberman@pomlaw.com

22 – and –

23
24 GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
25 Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 201-9150
26 Fax: (310) 201-91600
Lionel Z. Glancy
27 lglancy@glancylaw.com

28
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND HEARING ON MOTION TO APPROVE LEAD PLAINTIFF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Michael Goldberg
mmgoldberg@glancylaw.com
rprongay@glancylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard Hayes

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 23, 2014

