

INDURA SYSTEMS, INC. v. SEOANE  
D00:22

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

INDURA SYSTEMS, INC., a California  
corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ISAAC SEOANE, an individual,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:14-cv-1255 NC

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

- Private ADR (*please identify process and provider*) The Parties are meeting and conferring regarding the process and provider.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)
- other requested deadline \_\_\_\_\_.

Dated: May 28, 2014

PERKINS COIE, LLP

/s/ Brock S. Weber

Attorneys for Plaintiff Indura Systems, Inc.

Brian P. Hennessey

J. Patrick Corrigan

Brock S. Weber

Dated: May 28, 2014

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

/s/ Hilary Dinkelspiel  
Attorneys for Defendant Isaac Seoane  
Lisa Sween  
Hilary Dinkelspiel

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

- The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 13, 2014

*Mafine M. Cheney*  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE