## 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 MICHAEL A. BRUZZONE, No. C 14-01279 WHA No. C 16-80233 WHA 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. **ORDER RE PRE-FILING** 13 INTEL CORPORATION, et al., **REVIEW OF COMPLAINT** 14 Defendants. 15 16 The Court has reviewed plaintiff Michael Bruzzone's new complaint in Bruzzone v. Intel 17 Corp., No. 3:16-mc-80233-WHA (Dkt. No. 1), and finds its contents are frivolous or 18 duplicative of past filings in plaintiff's prolonged series of lawsuits against Intel. Accordingly, 19 pursuant to the pre-filing review order issued on August 19, 2014, in *Bruzzone v. Intel Corp.*, 20 No. 3:14-cv-01279-WHA (Dkt. No. 88), the Clerk is directed not to accept the new complaint 21 for filing. Plaintiff's concurrent application to proceed in forma pauperis is **DENIED AS MOOT**. 22 The Clerk shall please **CLOSE THE FILE**. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2016.

24

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE