United States District Court Northern District of California

2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6 7 8 9 10 11	SEGAN LLC, Plaintiff, v. ZYNGA INC, Defendant.	Case No. 14-cv-01315-VC ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO SEAL Re: Dkt. Nos. 116, 121, 126, 132, and 140
12 13	Zynga's administrative motion to seal in connection with its opposition to Segan's motion to amend (Dkt. No. 121), and Segan's administrative motion to seal its amended infringement	
14	contentions (Dkt. No. 132) are granted. The parties established good cause for sealing the material	
15	and abided by the local rules.	
16	Segan's administrative motion to seal in connection with its motion to amend (Dkt. No.	
17	116) is denied because of failure to comply with Local Rule 79-5(e). Segan's corrected	
18	administrative motion to seal in connection with its reply brief to the motion to amend (Dkt. No.	
19	140) is denied because of failure to comply with Local Rule 79-5(e) and because the motion is not	
20	narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material. The parties have seven days from the date of	
21	this Order to refile these motions in accordance with the local rules. Otherwise, the material will	
22	be made public.	
23	Segan's initial administrative motion to seal in connection with its reply brief (Dkt. No.	
24	126) is terminated as moot because it is superseded by Docket No. 140.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: February 5, 2015	
27	:	VINCE CHHABRIA

United States District Judge