

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FABRIENNE ENGLISH,
Plaintiff,
v.
APPLE INC, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-01619-WHO
**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**
Re: Dkt. No. 188

Defendants seek an extension of time to file their opposition to plaintiff’s motion for class certification. Dkt. Nos. 188, 193. They state that an extension is warranted for two reasons: (1) on July 9, 2015, more than a week after the July 1, 2015 filing deadline for plaintiff’s motion, plaintiff filed a substantially revised memorandum of points and authorities in support of the motion; and (2) plaintiff has submitted declarations from seven previously undisclosed fact and expert witnesses in support of her motion, requiring defendants to conduct further discovery. Dkt. No. 188 at 1.

Defendants initially proposed a two-week extension of the briefing and hearing schedule on plaintiffs’ motion. *Id.* at 3.¹ Plaintiff then made additional supplemental filings in support of her motion and informed defendants that one of her witnesses would not be available for deposition until August 21, 2015. *See* Dkt. No. 189; Dkt. No. 190 ¶¶ 6-7; Dkt. No. 191; Dkt. No. 193 at 1-2. Defendants now seek a four-week extension, which would result in the following schedule:

Opposition deadline: September 9, 2015
Reply deadline: October 7, 2015

¹ The current schedule is as follows: opposition due by August 12, 2015, reply due by September 9, 2015, and hearing on September 23, 2015. Dkt. No. 149.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Hearing: October 21, 2015

Dkt. No. 193 at 1.

This request is GRANTED with the following modifications:

Opposition deadline: September 9, 2015

Reply deadline: September 30, 2015


Hearing: October 14, 2015

Defendants also ask that plaintiff be prohibited from making any further supplemental filings in support her motion for class certification without prior leave of the Court. Dkt. No. 193-2. This request is also GRANTED. This prohibition shall also apply to plaintiff's reply brief. That is, after plaintiff files her reply brief on September 30, 2015, she is prohibited from making any supplemental filings in support of the brief without prior leave of the Court.

Within one day of the date of this order, plaintiff shall confirm with defendants that they have received a complete set of all documents filed in support of her motion for class certification.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 16, 2015



WILLIAM H. ORRICK
United States District Judge