1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	Northern District of California	
10	San Francisco Division	
11	THOMAS E. SMITH,	No. 3:14-cv-01741 LB
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DISCHARGING SECOND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	BRAD HOFFMAN, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		_/
16	On June 29, 2015, the court ordered the plaintiff Thomas Smith to show cause why this action	
17	should not be dismissed for failure to prosecut	te because he did not file a first amended complaint by
18	the court's June 1, 2015 deadline for doing so. (Second Order to Show Cause, ECF No. 34.) On July	
19	2, 2015, Mr. Smith's counsel filed a declaration responding to the court's order. (Moore Decl., ECF	
20	No. 35.) In it, Mr. Smith's counsel described several personal matters that understandably required	
21	his attention. He asks the court either to give him additional time to file a first amended complaint or	
22	to stay the action while his appeal in a related action is pending. The court does not believe a stay is	
23	warranted at this time, but it will give Mr. Smith additional time to file and serve a first amended	
24	complaint. Accordingly, the court directs Mr. Smith to file and serve a first amended complaint no	
25	later than August 31, 2015.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: July 13, 2015	LABC
28		LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER (No. 3:14-cv-01741 LB)