1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	MARGARET WACKER,	Case No. <u>14-cv-01764-VC</u>
8	Plaintiff,	
9	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND
10	MASSACHUSETTS MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA,	Re: Dkt. No. 9
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	The third cause of action, for intentional misrepresentation, is dismissed with leave to	
14	amend by no later than July 8, 2014. The complaint alleges in very general terms that when Mass	
15	Mutual sold its policy to Ms. Wacker, it did not intend to honor the policy. But this general	
16	allegation is not sufficient to satisfy the particularity requirement of Rule 9(b). Otherwise, every	
17	claim for breach of contract could be converted into a fraud case "so long as the plaintiff adds to	
18	his complaint a general allegation that the defendant never intended to keep her promise." Smith	
19	v. Allstate Ins. Co., 160 F.Supp.2d 1150, 1154 (S.D. Cal. 2001) (quoting Richardson v. Reliance	
20	Nat'l Indem. Co., 2000 WL 284211 *5 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2000)).	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: June 17, 2014	
23		V~L
24		VINCE CHHABRIA United States District Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California