1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 9 10 11 JAMAL WILLIAMS, Case No. 14-cv-01830 NC 12 Plaintiff. ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF FLSA CLAIMS 13 AND DISMISSING CASE WITH v. **PREJUDICE** 14 CITY OF BERKELEY, Re: Dkt. No. 21 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff Jamal Williams brought this action against the City of Berkeley alleging claims for disability discrimination, hostile work environment, and retaliation under the 18 Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), California Government Code §§ 12940 et 19 seq., as well as failure to pay wages and failure to keep payroll records in violation of the 20 Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 and 211. See Dkt. Nos. 1; 1-1 at 30. 21 22 The case settled as a result of an early neutral evaluation. See Dkt. No. 17. The parties now move jointly for an order approving the settlement of plaintiff's FLSA claims and 23 dismissing, with prejudice, plaintiff's claims against defendant. Dkt. No. 21. The Court 24 25 finds this motion suitable for resolution without oral argument. 26 An employee's claims under FLSA are nonwaivable and may not be settled without 27 supervision of either the Secretary of Labor or a district court. Yue Zhou v. Wang's Restaurant, No. 05-cv-0279 PVT, 2007 WL 2298046, *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2007) (citing 28 Case No. 14-cv-01830 NC ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CASE

1	Barrentine v. ArkBest Freight Sys., Inc., 450 U.S. 728, 740 (1981); Lynn's Food Stores,	
2	Inc. v. United States, 679 F.2d 1350, 1352-53 (11th. Cir. 1982)). A district court presented	
3	with a proposed settlement of FLSA claims "must determine whether the settlement is a fai	
4	and reasonable resolution of a <i>bona fide</i> dispute 'If a settlement in an employee FLSA	
5	suit does reflect a reasonable compromise over issues, such as FLSA coverage or	
6	computation of back wages, that are actually in dispute [,] the district court [may]	
7	approve the settlement in order to promote the policy of encouraging settlement of	
8	litigation." Id. (quoting Lynn's Food Stores, 679 F.2d at 1355)); see also McKeen-Chaplin	
9	v. Franklin Am. Mortg. Co., No. 10-cv-5243 SBA, 2012 WL 6629608, *2 (N.D. Cal. Dec.	
10	19, 2012).	
11	Based on the parties' motion and supporting declarations, the Court finds that there is	
12	a bona fide dispute as to whether plaintiff was paid all earned wages, and whether he	
13	worked sufficient hours to earn paid time off. Dkt. Nos. 21, 23, 24. Additionally, having	

Based on the parties' motion and supporting declarations, the Court finds that there is a *bona fide* dispute as to whether plaintiff was paid all earned wages, and whether he worked sufficient hours to earn paid time off. Dkt. Nos. 21, 23, 24. Additionally, having reviewed the parties' settlement agreement and the record in this case, the Court finds that the settlement reflects a fair and reasonable compromise of plaintiff's FLSA claims. Under the settlement agreement, the City of Berkeley would pay plaintiff a total of \$4,250 in release of all claims against the City, its employees and agents, arising out of or related to plaintiff's employment with the City, except for plaintiff's workers' compensation claim. Dkt. No. 21 at 8. The settlement amount exceeds the potential value of plaintiff's FLSA claims of \$1,242, as estimated by the parties. Dkt. Nos. 21, 23, 24. While the settlement provides for a broad release of all employment-related claims, the Court finds that the settlement fairly and reasonably resolves plaintiff's FLSA claims, taking into consideration the relatively small potential value of the FLSA claims, the *bona fide* dispute as to the merits, and the fact that the settlement negotiations in this case occurred at arm's length with the assistance of a Court-appointed early neutral evaluator.

Accordingly, in furtherance of the policy of promoting settlement of litigation, the Court GRANTS the parties' motion and APPROVES the proposed settlement of plaintiff's FLSA claims. The case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. Each side is to bear its own

1	costs and attorneys' fees.	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3		Abote
4	Date: January 16, 2015	Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge
5		Officed States Magistrate Judge
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		