28

1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 ROBERTA ALVAREZ and PAUL ALVAREZ, 8 No. C 14-01925 WHA Plaintiffs, 9 v. 10 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CHAPTER 7 BANKRUPTCY WACHOVIA BANK, FSB aka 11 WACHOVIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION; WELLS FARGO 12 BANK, N.A. aka WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE; HOME MORTGAGE; 13 PRIME MORTGAGE, INC.; EDWARD M. GARCIA, an individual; NEW 14 CENTURY TITLE COMPANY; and DOES 1-20, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 Defendant Wells Fargo states in its motion to dismiss that plaintiffs failed to disclose the 18 claims they now allege in this action when they filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy in 2010 and 2012 19 (Br. at 3; RJN Exhs. D–J). Failure to disclose potential legal claims results in the forfeiture of 20 those claims. Britto v. Bank of Am., N.A., 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 146978, at *4–8 (N.D. Cal. 21 Oct. 10, 2013) (Judge William Alsup). 22 Plaintiffs are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE by NOON ON JUNE 6 why this action should 23 not be dismissed for lack of standing. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 Dated: June 3, 2014. 27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE