19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	ROBERTA ALVAREZ,	
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 14-01925 WHA
11	v.	
12	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,	SECOND ORDER
13	Defendants.	TO SHOW CAUSE
14		
15	On June 3, 2014, plaintiff was ordered to show cause why her action should not be	
16	dismissed due to deficiencies in her previous Chapter 7 bankruptcies (Dkt. No. 18). On Ju	
17	counsel for plaintiff was informed that she was not admitted to practice in this district and	
18	not registered for electronic case filing access, as required by the local rules (Dkt. No. 19).	

ne 4, was The deadlines to respond have come and gone.

Plaintiff may have until NOON ON JUNE 26 to file a response to both orders. Failure to do so may result in dismissal of her action. Rule 41.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: June 17, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE