Ш

1 2 3 4	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney THOMAS MOORE (ALBN 4305-O78T) Chief, Tax Division MICHAEL G. PITMAN (DCBN 484164) Assistant United States Attorney, Tax Division 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055	
5	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6475 Facsimile: (415) 436-7009	
6	Email: michael.pitman@usdoj.gov	
7	Attorneys for the United States of America	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11	United States of America,	Civil No. 3:14-cv-01932-JST
12	Petitioner,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERNAL REVENUE
13	v.	SERVICE SUMMONS SHOULD NOT BE ENFORCED
14	Sigurd Anderson,	DE ENI ORCED
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Upon consideration of the United States'	Verified Petition to Enforce Internal Revenue
18	Service Summons and the Declaration in support thereof, the Court finds that the United States	
19	has established a prima facie case under United States v. Powell, 379 U.S. 48 (1964) for	
20	enforcement of the Internal Revenue Service summons at issue. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
21	ORDERED that Respondent Sigurd Anderson appear before the undersigned United States	
22	Magistrate Judge, on the <u>24th</u> day of <u>July</u> , 2014, at <u>2:00</u> a.m./p.m., in	
23	Courtroom No. <u>9</u> , <u>19th</u> Floor, United States District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San	
24	Francisco, California, and then and there show cause, if any, why Respondent should not be	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS SHOULD NOT BE ENFORCED CIVIL NO. 3:14-cv-01932-JST	Docke

compelled to appear and provide documents and testimony as required by the summons.
 It is further ORDERED that:

A copy of this Order, together with the Verified Petition to Enforce Internal
 Revenue Service Summons and supporting papers, shall be served upon Respondent in
 accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at least thirty-five days before
 the date set for the show-cause hearing;

2. Since the Verified Petition to Enforce Internal Revenue Service Summons and
supporting papers make a prima facie showing that the IRS investigation is being conducted for a
legitimate purpose, that the inquiry may be relevant to that purpose, that the information sought
is not already within the Internal Revenue Service's possession, and that the administrative steps
required by the Internal Revenue Code have been followed, see United States v. Powell, 379 U.S.
48 (1964), the burden has shifted to Respondent to oppose enforcement of the summons;

3. If Respondent has any defense to present or opposition to the Petition, such
defense or opposition shall be made in writing, filed with the Clerk and served on counsel for the
United States, at least 21 days prior to the date set for the show-cause hearing. The United States
may file a reply memorandum to any opposition at least 5 court days prior to the date set for the
show-cause hearing.

At the show-cause hearing, the Court will consider all issues raised by
 Respondent. Only those issues brought into controversy by the responsive pleadings and

- 20 ////
- 21 ///
- 22 ////
- 23 ////
- 24 ||///

2

1	supported by an affidavit or declaration will be considered. Any uncontested allegation in the
2	Petition will be considered admitted.
3	ORDERED this 23rd day of May, 2014.
4	
5	THE LONORABLE JON S. TIGAR
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY 3 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS SHOULD NOT BE ENFORCED CIVIL NO. 3:14-cv-01932-JST 3