octer &	Gamble Company et al		D	
	Case3:14-cv-01982-JD Document15	Filed05/06/14 Page1 of 4		
1	EMILY JOHNSON HENN (SBN 269482) COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94061			
2				
3	Telephone: 650-632-4700 Facsimile: 650-632-4800			
4	Email: ehenn@cov.com			
5	SONYA D. WINNER (SBN 200348) CORTLIN H. LANNIN (SBN 266488)			
6	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street, 35th Floor			
7	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415-591-6000			
8	Facsimile: 415-591-6091 Email: swinner@cov.com			
9	Email: clannin@cov.com			
10	Attorneys for Defendant The Procter & Gamble Company			
11	[Other Counsel and Parties Appear on Signature Page]			
12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13				
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
16				
17	DAVID MACHLAN, an individual, on behalf of himself, the general public, and	Civil Case No.: 3:14-cv-01982-JD		
18	those similarly situated,	ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION TO		
19	Plaintiff,	EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND SETTING		
20	V.	BRIEFING SCHEDULE		
21	PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY; NEHEMIAH MANUFACTURING	(Civil L.R. 6-1, 6-2, 7-12)		
22	COMPANY; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50,			
23	Defendants.			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE Civil Case No.: 3:14-cv-01982-JD			
		Dockets	s.Justi	

1	The parties, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, respectfully submit the		
2	following stipulation:		
3	1. On March 21, 2014, plaintiff David Machlan filed his Class Action		
4	Complaint ("CAC") in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco.		
5	The CAC names The Procter & Gamble Company ("P&G") and Nehemiah Manufacturing		
6	Company ("Nehemiah") as defendants.		
7	2. On April 29, 2014, defendants jointly and timely removed the CAC from the		
8	Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco, to the United States District		
9	Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division. See Dkt. No. 1.		
10	3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(C)(2)(c), defendants must answer or		
11	otherwise respond to the CAC on or before May 6, 2014.		
12	4. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), defendants and plaintiff agree that the deadline		
13	for defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the CAC shall be extended to Wednesday,		
14	June 18, 2014. See Declaration of Emily Johnson Henn.		
15	5. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(b) and 6-2, defendants and plaintiff agree that if		
16	one or more defendants files a motion to dismiss the CAC, plaintiff's opposition(s) to any such		
17	motion(s) will be due by Friday, July 18, 2014. Defendants' reply or replies to any such		
18	opposition(s) will be due by Friday, August 1, 2014. The parties request these enlargements of		
19	time in anticipation that any motion or motions to dismiss will raise issues that require		
20	additional time to brief in a thoughtful and focused manner, as this Court's standing order		
21	requires, and because of preexisting deadlines in cases pending before other courts. See		
22	Declaration of Emily Johnson Henn. Any motions to dismiss shall be calendared for a hearing		
23	date of Wednesday, August 20, 2014.		
24	6. This stipulation will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already		
25	fixed by Court order. This stipulation has been promptly filed in accordance with Civil L.R. 5.		
26			
27			
28			
	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE Civil Case No.: 3:14-cv-01982-JD		

	Case3:14-cv-01982-JD Document	15 Filed05/06/14 Page3 of 4
1	DATED: May 6, 2014	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
23		By: <u>/s/ Emily Johnson Henn</u> Emily Johnson Henn
4		Attorneys for Defendant The Procter & Gamble Company
5		
6	DATED: May 6, 2014	DUDNICK DETWILER RIVIN & STIKKER LLP
7		By: /s/ William C Wilka
8		By: <u>/s/ William C. Wilka</u> William C. Wilka
9		Attorneys for Defendant Nehemiah Manufacturing Company
10 11	DATED: May 6, 2014	GUTRIDE SAFIER LLP
11		By: /s/ Seth Safier
13		By: <u>/s/ Seth Safier</u> Seth Safier
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff
		TES DISTRICT
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION	IT IS SO ORDERED
15 16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION	, IT IS SO ORDERED.
16 17		APPROVED C
16 17 18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION DATED: May 6, 2014	APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
16 17 18 19		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
16 17 18 19 20		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
16 17 18 19		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
 16 17 18 19 20 21 		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 		APPROVED Z Judge James Donato
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 		APPROVED Inter Tames Donato Total States District Total Total States District Total Total States District To

	Case3:14-cv-01982-JD Document15 Filed05/06/14 Page4 of 4			
1	ATTESTATION			
2	I, Emily Johnson Henn, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1, that			
3	the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.			
4	DATED: May 6, 2014 By: <u>/s/ Emily Johnson Henn</u>			
5	DATED: May 6, 2014 By: <u>/s/ Emily Johnson Henn</u> Emily Johnson Henn			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12 13				
13				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE Civil Case No.: 3:14-cv-01982-JD			