

1 Celia M. Ruiz (SBN 87671)
 2 Janice L. Sperow (SBN 129617)
 3 Forrest E. Fang (SBN 122805)
 4 RUIZ & SPEROW, LLP
 5 2200 Powell Street, Suite 350
 6 Emeryville, CA 94608
 7 Telephone: 510 594-7980
 8 Fax: 510 594-7988
 9 Email: ffang@ruizlaw.com

10 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND and
 11 DEANNA SANTANA

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 DARYELLE LAWANNA PRESTON,)	Case No.: 3:14-cv-2022 NC
)	
16 Plaintiff,)	Date: August 6, 2014
)	Dept: Courtroom A, 15 th Floor (SF)
17 v.)	Time: 10:00 a.m.
)	Trial: Not Set
18 CITY OF OAKLAND; DEANNA SANTANA,)	
19 in her individual capacity; and DOES 1 through)	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
20 10, inclusive,)	CHANGING TIME AND PROPOSED
)	ORDER (CIVIL L.R. 6-2)
21 Defendants.)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

22
 23
 24 Plaintiff DARYELLE LAWANNA PRESTON and defendants CITY OF OAKLAND and
 25 DEANNA SANTANA (collectively the “Parties”) hereby enter into this Stipulated Request For an
 26 Order Changing Time, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, as follows:

27 WHEREAS, on May 9, 2014, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff’s Verified
 28 Complaint, asserting therein that the Complaint failed to state claims upon which relief could be granted;

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER (CIVIL L.R. 6-2)

1 WHEREAS, defendants' motion has been fully briefed by the parties and the parties are awaiting
2 a decision from the Court on the motion;

3 WHEREAS the Court's May 5, 2014 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and
4 ADR Deadlines (Document 5) requires the parties to meet and confer on initial disclosures, early
5 settlement, ADR process and a discovery plan by July 16, 2014 and further requires that the parties file
6 an ADR Certification and an ADR Stipulation or Notice by that date;

7 WHEREAS the Court's May 5, 2014 Order further requires the parties to file a Rule 26(f) Report
8 and a Case Management Statement and make initial disclosures or objections by July 30, 2014;

9 WHEREAS the Court's May 5, 2014 Order sets the Initial Case Management Conference on
10 August 6, 2014;

11 WHEREAS, because defendant's motion to dismiss is still pending, it is unclear which
12 defendants and/or claims remain in the case and that the case is therefore not at issue;

13 WHEREAS, the outcome of the pending motion to dismiss could affect the scope of claims and
14 issues that would be the subject of the parties' meet and confer and their initial disclosures, as well as
15 their ADR decisions;

16 THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and request that the date of the Initial Case
17 Management Conference be changed and continued by three weeks, from August 6, 2014 to August 27,
18 2014, and that the meet and confer, Rule 26 and ADR deadlines set forth in the Court's May 5, 2014
19 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference be extended by three weeks, from July 16, 2014 and
20 July 30, 2014 to August 6, 2014 and August 20, 2014, respectively.

21 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

22
23 Dated: July 10, 2014

By: //ss//Forrest E. Fang
Forrest E. Fang
RUIZ & SPEROW, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants

24
25
26 Dated: July 10, 2014

By: //s//Sonya Z. Mehta
Sonya Z. Mehta
SIEGEL & YEE
Attorneys for Plaintiff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 11, 2014

Nathanael Cousins
Magistrate Judge of the District Court

