

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARYELLE LAWANNA PRESTON,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF OAKLAND,
Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-02022 NC

VERDICT FORM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. Did Preston prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Santana’s conduct, acting on behalf of the City of Oakland, was a substantial factor in causing Preston’s harm?

Yes _____ No _____

If you answered “Yes” to question 4, then answer question 5.

If you answered “No” to question 4, please skip to bottom, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

5. Did the City of Oakland prove by clear and convincing evidence that it would have discharged Preston, for legitimate, independent reasons, absent her disclosure and/or refusal to participate in an act she reasonably believed violated state or federal law?

Yes _____ No _____

If you answered “Yes” to question 5, please skip to bottom, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

If you answered “No” to question 5, then answer question 6.

6. What are Preston’s damages against the City of Oakland?

- a. Past lost earnings \$ _____
 - b. Future lost earnings \$ _____
 - c. Future pension plan benefits \$ _____
 - d. Emotional distress damages \$ _____
- TOTAL: \$ _____

Signed: _____ **Dated:** _____

Presiding Juror

After this verdict form has been signed, notify the courtroom deputy that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.