1 2 3 4 5 6 7	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN (Bar No. 57117) JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE (Bar No. 23853 One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 210-2800 Facsimile: (925) 945-1975 Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert J. Sehr, Jr., as successor trustee of the Weller Trust		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	ROBERT J. SEHR, JR. as successor trustee	Case No. CV 14-02100 VC	
12	of the WELLER TRUST,) <u>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</u>) ORDER STAYING CASE	
13	Plaintiff,) AS MODIFIED	
	vs.))	
14	BRYAN WELLER, an individual; UNITED))	
15	STATES OF AMERICA; STATE OF CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT		
16	DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT; ALL	Ó	
17	PERSONS UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY INTEREST IN THE PROPERTY, and))	
18	DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,))	
19	Defendants.))	
20		<i>)</i>	
	WHEDEAG A '11 2014 11'		
21	-	rtition case was filed in the probate department ¹	
22	(Judge Sugiyama) of the Superior Court for the	ne County of Contra Costa to partition a commercia	
23	property located at 254 Rose St., Danville, Ca	difornia that is owned by Plaintiff and Defendant	
24	Bryan Weller with federal tax liens on the pro	perty held by Defendant United State of America;	
25			
26			
27	Plaintiff received special permission from	Judge Sugiyama to file in the probate department	
28		elated and consolidated cases already pending	
. –	octore suage ougryama.		

1	WHEREAS, on May 7, 2014, this case was removed to this Court by Defendant United
2	State of America;
3	WHEREAS, before removal, this case was consolidated with six additional cases in the
4	probate department also pending before Judge Sugiyama, including a wills/estate action (In the
5	Matter of the Estate of Beverly J. Weller, Case No. MSP11-00814), a trust action (In the Matter
6	of the Weller Trust, Case No. MSP11-00406), a fraud/fiduciary duties action (Weller v. Sehr,
7	Case No. C12-01649), a quiet title action (related to the commercial property at issue here)
8	(Weller v. Sehr, Case No. MSC12-02106), a nuisance action (Sehr v. Weller, Case No. MSL13-
9	01120) and a partition action (related to a residential property owned by Plaintiff and Defendant
10	Bryan Weller) (Sehr v. Weller, Case No. MSC12-02336) (collectively the "Actions"); ²
11	WHEREAS, Defendant Bryan Weller is or was a party to all of the Actions and
12	Defendant United States of America is a party to the partition action related to the residential
13	property; ³
14	WHEREAS, the federal tax liens at issue in this case are also at issue in the partition
15	action related to the residential property;
16	WHEREAS, an interlocutory judgment has been issued in the partition action related to
17	the residential property, which has a provision relating to the payment of the federal tax liens, a
18	referee has been appointed to sell the residential property and offers to purchase the residential
19	property have been received;
20	WHEREAS, it is anticipated that the residential property will be sold in the next few
21	months, and the proceeds of the sale will be used to pay off debts on the house, including the
22	federal tax liens at issue in this case;
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff disputes that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this
24	case as Plaintiff contends that the property at issue is also at issue in previously filed state court
252627	The property at issue in this action (254 Rose St., Danville, California) is at issue in the wills/estate action, the trust action, the fraud/fiduciary duties action, the quiet title action and the nuisance action.

28

³ Defendant State of California Employment Development Department disclaimed in the state court all rights in the property and is no longer a party to this action

1	actions, including multiple probate actions and a quiet title action, that the United States of
2	America has waived the right to remove due to its litigating its federal tax liens in state court and
3	that abstention principles require that the case be remanded to state court;
4	WHEREAS, the parties believe that rather than engaging in motion practice to determine
5	whether this case should be remanded to the state court and engaging in preliminary case matters
6	(e.g., initial disclosures, initial case management conferences), the case should be stayed so that
7	the federal tax liens can be resolved in the state court partition action, following which Defendant
8	United States of America will likely be dismissed from both the state court case as well as the
9	above-captioned case so that this case can be remanded back to state court;
10	THEREFORE, subject to the Court's order so providing, the parties stipulate that this
11	case shall be stayed for 90 days from the date of the Court's Order. If a dismissal of the United
12	States of America is not filed within 75 days following entry of the Court's Order, Plaintiff shall
13	notify the Court of the status of the underlying partition case so that the Court may determine
14	how best to proceed.
15	Dated: June 10, 2014
16	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP
17	By /s/ Jonathan A. Eldredge Attorneys for Plaintiff
18	Robert J. Sehr, Jr., as successor trustee of the Weller Trust
19	of the Weller Hust
20	Dated: June, 2014 BUCHMAN PROVINE BROTHERS
21	SMITH LLP
22	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}}$
23	ByAttorneys for Defendant Bryan Weller
24	Dated: June 10, 2014
25	By/s/ Cynthia Stier
26	CYNTHIA STIER Assistant United States Attorney
27	Tax Division Attorney for Defendant United States of
28	America America
	2

1	actions, including multiple probate actions and a quiet title action, that the United States of	
2	America has waived the right to remove due to its litigating its federal tax liens in state court ar	ıd =
3	that abstention principles require that the case be remanded to state court;	
4	WHEREAS, the parties believe that rather than engaging in motion practice to determin	ie
5	whether this case should be remanded to the state court and engaging in preliminary case matter	ers
6	(e.g., initial disclosures, initial case management conferences), the case should be stayed so that	t
7	the federal tax liens can be resolved in the state court partition action, following which Defenda	ant
8	United States of America will likely be dismissed from both the state court case as well as the	
9	above-captioned case so that this case can be remanded back to state court;	
10	THEREFORE, subject to the Court's order so providing, the parties stipulate that this	
11	case shall be stayed for 90 days from the date of the Court's Order. If a dismissal of the United	
12	States of America is not filed within 75 days following entry of the Court's Order, Plaintiff sha	all
13	notify the Court of the status of the underlying partition case so that the Court may determine	
14	how best to proceed.	
15		
16	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP	
17		
18	respect to being trig as successful transfer	
19	of the Weller Trust	
20	=	
21	BUCHMAN PROVINE BROTHERS SMITH LLP	
22	11.1-71	
23	By W Attorneys for Defendant Bryan Weller	
24		
25	Dated: June, 2014	
26		
27	i abbituali Olitee States I morney	
28	Tax Division Attorney for Defendant United States of	f

1	ORDER AS MODIFIED
2	The Court ORDERS that this case shall be stayed for 90 days from the date of the Court's
3	Order. If a dismissal of the United States of America is not filed within 75 days following entry
4	of the Court's Order, Plaintiff shall notify the Court of the status of the underlying partition case
5	so that the Court may determine how best to proceed. A Status Conference is set for
6	September 16, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. A Status Conference statement shall
7	IT IS SO ORDERED. be due no later than September 9, 2014. TES DISTRICES
8	TATES DIOTRICA
9	Dated: June <u>11</u> , 2014
10	IT IS SO ORDERED e
11	AS MOD
12	Judge Vince Chhabria
13	
14	FERN DISTRICT OF CO.
15	DISTRICT
16 . -	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER NO. 45 I, Jonathan A. Eldredge, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from any signatories indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated: June 10, 2014 GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP /s/ Jonathan A. Eldredge Jonathan A. Eldredge Attorneys for Plaintiff