


1 Michael B. Garfinkel, (Cal. Bar No. 156010)  
 2 MGarfinkel@perkinscoie.com  
 3 PERKINS COIE LLP  
 4 1888 Century Park E., Suite 1700  
 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721  
 Telephone: 310.788.9900  
 Facsimile: 310.788.3399

5 Joren S. Bass, (Cal. Bar No. 208143)  
 6 JBass@perkinscoie.com  
 7 PERKINS COIE LLP  
 8 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131  
 Telephone: 415.344.7000  
 Facsimile: 415.344.7050

9 Attorneys for Defendants  
 10 THE HERTZ CORPORATION, DOLLAR  
 11 THRIFTY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.  
 and DTG OPERATIONS, INC.



\* Denied as moot in light of the Court's Order Directing Plaintiff to Show Cause Why Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction, filed concurrently herewith.  
 Dated: July 18, 2014

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 DARIUS OGLOZA,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 THE HERTZ CORPORATION,  
 18 DOLLAR THRIFTY AUTOMOTIVE  
 19 GROUP, INC.  
 20 and DTG OPERATIONS, INC.,

21 Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-02103 MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
 FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY  
 BRIEFS TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION  
 TO DISMISS COMPLAINT OR IN THE  
 ALTERNATIVE FOR A MORE  
 DEFINITE STATEMENT**

Action Filed: May 7, 2014

Hearing Date: September 12, 2014

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Courtroom: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor

Before: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED:

3 1. Defendants, having declined the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate  
4 Judge, re-noticed their Joint Motion to Dismiss Complaint or in the Alternative for a More  
5 Definite Statement (the “Motion to Dismiss”) for hearing before this Court, in accordance  
6 with the instructions of the Clerk of Court;

7 2. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is now set for September 12, 2014 at  
8 9:00 a.m.;

9 3. Because Plaintiff’s counsel is unavailable to respond to Defendants’ Joint  
10 Motion to Dismiss in the timeframe provided in Civil L.R. 7-3, the parties agree to alter  
11 the briefing schedule set by Civil Local Rule 7-3 for the filing of Opposition and Reply  
12 Briefs to the Motion to Dismiss;

13 4. The parties stipulate that Plaintiff’s Opposition or Statement of  
14 Nonopposition is due on or before August 8, 2014;

15 5. The parties further stipulate that Defendants’ Reply is due on or before  
16 August 29, 2014;

17 6. This does not alter any existing deadline imposed by the Court.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19  
20 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_   
Honorable Maxine M. Chesney  
United States District Judge

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 LEGAL122765712