1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	PATRICIA COTTEN, et al.,	Case No. <u>14-cv-02124-JD</u>
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	v.	ORDER OF CONDITIONAL DISMISSAL
10	NATIONAL STEEL AND SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, et al.,	DISMISSAL
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	The Court was previously informed that the only remaining defendant who had not reached	
14	a settlement with plaintiffs in this case was National Steel. Dkt. No. 99. On July 23, 2015,	
15	defendant National Steel and Shipbuilding Company informed the Court that the action had been	
16	resolved as to that defendant as well. Dkt. No. 101.	
17	Consequently, based on the Court's understanding that the action has been settled as	
18	against all defendants, the Court dismisses this case without prejudice. If, however, any party	
19	certifies to the Court within <b>ninety</b> days from the date of this order that the agreed consideration	
20	for the settlement of this action has not been delivered over, then this order will be vacated and the	
21	case will be restored to the calendar to be set for trial. If no such certification is filed, the	
22	dismissal will be with prejudice after the passage of the ninety days.	
23	The Court will not entertain any further requests for piecemeal dismissals, e.g., Dkt.	
24	No. 105.	
25	Dated: September 10, 2015	A J
26	$-\mathcal{U}$	
27	JAMES DONATO United States District Judge	
28		