Northern District of California United States District Court

13

14

1

## 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 LAN YING XIE, 7 Plaintiff, 8 v. 9 CAROLYN W. COLVIN, COUNSEL 10 Defendant. 11 12

## 15 On April 12, 2016 the Court found that Plaintiff is entitled to \$7,844 in EAJA fees. See Docket No. 30. The Court deferred deciding the question of whether the award should be paid to 16 Plaintiff or directly to her counsel and gave the parties 14 days to file additional materials. In 17 18 particular, it asked Plaintiff's counsel to submit a copy of the fee agreement and/or any other 19 document showing that Plaintiff assigned her right to EAJA fees to counsel and asked Defendant 20 to file a declaration confirming that it waives the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act. Earlier in the Order, the Court noted that it appeared that the Defendant does waive the 21 22 requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act. Subsequently, Plaintiff's counsel filed a copy of the fee 23 agreement with Plaintiff, reflecting that Plaintiff expressly assigned "any and all court-awarded EAJA attorney fees" to her attorney. See Docket No. 31. Defendant did not file the requested 24 25 declaration; nor did it file a declaration expressing any objection to the Court's previous finding that it waives the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act. The deadline to do so has now 26 27 passed. Accordingly, the Court finds that Defendant waives the requirements of the Anti-28 Assignment Act and orders that the EAJA award be paid directly to Plaintiff's counsel, Katherine

Case No. 14-cv-02325-JCS

## **ORDER TO PAY EAJA AWARD DIRECTLY TO PLAINTIFF'S**

1	Siegfried, subject to any offset for debts Plaintiff owes to the federal government.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: April 28, 2016
4	Acr
5	JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

United States District Court Northern District of California