1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		ICTRICT COLIDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 14-cv-02447 NC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT AND
13	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14	\$100,020 IN UNITED STATES	Re: Dkt. No. 35
15	CURRENCY Defendant.	
16	Defendant.	
17	The Court acknowledges the Settlement Agreement filed by the parties. Dkt. No. 35. The Court's review of the Settlement Agreement is not an adjudication of the merits of any claims or defenses asserted in the lawsuit. As requested by the parties in paragraph 9 of the Agreement, the Court retains jurisdiction for purposes of enforcing the Settlement Agreement. As the parties have resolved their dispute and the Court has retained jurisdiction to enforce the settlement, the parties are ordered to show cause by November 26, 2014, why this case should not be dismissed.	
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Mater
27	Date: November 19, 2014	Nathanael M. Cousins
28	C N 14 02447.NC	United States Magistrate Judge
	Case No. 14-cv-02447 NC SETTLEMENT AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
l		