Nunes v. Twitter, Ir	c.		Doc. 34
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
		TRICT COLIDT	
9	UNITED STATES DIS		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO		
12	BEVERLY NUNES, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals,	CASE NO.: 14-07-02043-70	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING TIME AS	
14	Traintiff,	MODIFIED	
15	v.) 	
16	TWITTER, INC.,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20	[PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING TIME CASE NO.: 14-CV-02843-VC		

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY			
2	2 ORDERED THAT:			
3	1.	Plaintiff may file its Opposition to Twitter's Motion to Dismiss no later than		
4		twenty-eight (28) days after Twitter's Motion to Dismiss is filed;		
5	2.	Twitter may file its Reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss no later than		
6		twenty-one (21) days after Plaintiff's Opposition is filed.		
7	3.	Upon filing the Motion, Plaintiff shall set the matter for a hearing on the Court's		
8		regular motion calendar for a date which is no less than 14 days after the due date		
9		of Defendant's Reply.		
10				
11	1 SIGNED this _11 day ofSeptember, 2014.			
12				
13				
14		VINCE CHHABRIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

[Proposed] Order Changing Time Case No.: 14-CV-02843-VC