Nunes v. Twitter, Inc. Doc. 51

1	JEFFREY F. KELLER (SBN 148005)	DAVID H. KRAMER (SBN 168452)	
2	jfkeller@kellergrover.com	dkramer@wsgr.com	
3	CAREY G. BEEN (SBN 240996)  cbeen@kellergrover.com	JARRED O. TAYLOR III (SBN 291620) jataylor@wsgr.com	
	SARAH R. HOLLOWAY (SBN 254134)	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &	
4	sholloway@kellergrover.com KELLER GROVER, LLP	ROSATI Professional Corporation	
5	1965 Market Street	650 Page Mill Road	
6	San Francisco, California 94103 Tel: (415) 543-1305 / Fax: (415) 543-7861	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Tel: (650) 493-9300 / Fax: (650) 493-6811	
7			
	DAVID SCHACHMAN (PRO HAC VICE) ds@schachmanlaw.com	TONIA OUELLETTE KLAUSNER ( <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> )	
8	LAW OFFICES OF DAVID	tklausner@wsgr.com	
9	SCHACHMAN, P.C. 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 2970	BRIAN M. WILLEN (PRO HAC VICE)	
10	Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tel: (312) 427-9500 /Fax: (312) 268-2425	bwillen@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &	
11	101. (312) 427-7300 /1 dx. (312) 200-2423	ROSATI	
12	JOHN G. JACOBS ( <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> ) jgjacobs@jacobskolton.com	Professional Corporation 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
	BRYAN G. KOLTON ( <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> )	New York, NY 10019-6022	
13	bgkolton@jacobskolton.com	Tel: (212) 999-5800 / Fax: (212) 999-5899	
14	JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD. 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 2970	Attorneys for Defendant	
15	Chicago, Illinois 60603	TWITTER, INC.	
16	Tel: (312) 427-4000 /Fax: (312) 268-2425		
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff BEVERLY NUNES and the Putative Class		
18			
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19			
20	BEVERLY NUNES, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals,	Case No: 3:14-cv-02843-VC	
21		<u>CLASS ACTION</u>	
22	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED	
23	V.	STIPULATED ORDER REGARDING INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF	
24		PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL	
25	TWITTER, INC.,		
26		Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria	
27	Defendant.	vaage. Hon. vinee emiderid	
28			
	[PROPOSED]	CASE NO. 3:14-cv-02843-VC	
	ŠTIPULATEĎ ORDER		

11

12

13 14 15

17 18

16

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

The parties in the above-captioned case have agreed to a single procedure to govern the inadvertent production of privileged documents in this action.

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned stipulate and agree as follows:

## 1. **Non-Waiver of Privileges**

- 1.1. This stipulation is entered pursuant to Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence and Section 10 of the December 10, 2014 Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. No. 47), in order to allow for expeditious production of documents, and set forth the procedure to be followed in the event of inadvertent production of information subject to the attorney-client privilege, work-product doctrine, joint-defense or common interest privilege or any other privilege or immunity from disclosure ("Privileged Material").
- In accordance with Rule 502(d), other applicable Rules, and the agreement of the Parties, any production or disclosure of Privileged Material shall not be deemed to waive—in this litigation or in any other federal, stage, administrative, agency or other proceeding of any kind any applicable privilege or immunity (including, without limitation, the attorney-client privilege, the work product privilege and the joint defense or common interest privilege) that would otherwise attach to the document or information, regardless of the extent (if any) to which the party producing the document or information has reviewed the document or information for privilege or other protection. In no event shall the inadvertent or erroneous production, disclosure, or transmission of Privileged Material form the basis for a claim that the material is not so protected.

## 3. **Clawback of Inadvertent or Erroneous Disclosure**

3.1 If a Producing Party, or any other party purporting to hold a privilege, has a good faith belief that Privileged Material has been inadvertently produced, it shall promptly notify the Receiving Party in writing of its claim of privilege or protection, and specifically identify the document or other material at issue ("Discovery Material"). The parties shall comply with their ethical and legal obligations concerning the actual or apparent inadvertent production of Privileged Material, including their obligation to promptly notify the Producing Party of such inadvertent production.

- Privileged Material, the other party shall, subject to paragraph 3.3.: (i) promptly destroy the Privileged Material (or redact the protected portions of the Discovery Material in the event that the entire Discovery Material is not claimed or found to be protected from disclosure) and all copies thereof; (ii) permanently delete any electronic versions of the Privileged Material from any data source, or any database it maintains; (iii) retrieve all paper copies of the Privileged Material provided to any third parties, including experts and consultants; (iv) retrieve from third parties all electronic copies contained on physical storage media where practicable, or if not, direct that any such electronic versions be permanently deleted; (v) destroy the portion of any notes that reveal the substance of the Privileged Material; and (vi) make no further use of the Privileged Material. In the event that only a portion of the Discovery Material is claimed or found to be protected from disclosure, the party claiming protection shall produce a new version of all Discovery Material that included the Privileged Material with such information redacted.
- Party"), the Disputing Party shall notify the Producing Party, or any other party asserting the privilege, of its position (the "Dispute Notification") within five business days of receiving the notice of production of Privileged Information. Within five business days of receiving the Dispute Notification, the Producing Party, or any other party purporting to hold a privilege, shall either withdraw its claim of privilege or confer with the Disputing Party in an effort to resolve their disagreement. If the disagreement is not resolved, the Producing Party, or any other party asserting a privilege, and the Disputing Party shall file a joint letter with the Court concerning this dispute and jointly request that the letter be filed under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. While the dispute is pending and until such time as there is a final judicial determination, the Receiving Party shall not use or disseminate the challenged Discovery Material for any purpose other than such joint letter.
- 3.4 In the event that either (a) the Disputing Party declines to provide a Dispute Notification within five days of receiving a claim of privilege or protection, or (b) the Court

28

1	Dated: January 28, 2015	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
2		
3		By: /s/ David H. Kramer
4		DAVID H. KRAMER
5		TONIA OUELLETTE KLAUSNER BRIAN M. WILLEN
6		JARRED O. TAYLOR III
7		Attorneys For Defendant TWITTER, INC.
8		TWITTER, ITC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED]	

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER

## **CERTIFICATION** I, David H. Kramer, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this [PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER REGARDING INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all of the signatories. Dated: January 28, 2015 /s/ David H. Kramer

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2		,
3	Dated: January 30 , 2015	V-L
4		VINCE CHHABRIA
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[DDODOSED]	

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER