1       2         3       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DOUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,         7       DOUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,         8       Plaintiff,         9       v.         10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,         11       Defendants.         12       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court orders the following:         16       1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents from 2005 to 2010 for bad-faith handling of escrow accounts handled by Mr. Marcus	
3       4       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,       Case No. 14-cv-02848-WHA (JCS)         8       Plaintiff,       Case No. 14-cv-02848-WHA (JCS)         9       v.       Discovery orders         10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,       Defendants.         12       Defendants.       Re: Dkt. No. 94, 96         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court orders the following:       I. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         16       I. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
<ul> <li>4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</li> <li>5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</li> <li>6</li> <li>7 DOUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,</li> <li>9 N.</li> <li>9 N.</li> <li>9 N.</li> <li>9 NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,</li> <li>9 Defendants.</li> <li>13 On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a</li> <li>14 hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court</li> <li>15 orders the following:</li> <li>16 1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.</li> <li>17 2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents</li> </ul>	
5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,       Case No. 14-cv-02848-WHA (JCS)         8       Plaintiff,         9       v.         10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALITY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,       DEfendants.         12       Defendants.         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court orders the following:         15       I. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
6       DOUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,       Case No. 14-cv-02848-WHA (JCS)         8       Plaintiff,       DISCOVERY ORDER         9       v.       DISCOVERY ORDER         10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,       Defendants.         12       Defendants.       Re: Dkt. No. 94, 96         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         14       hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court         15       orders the following:         16       1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
<ul> <li>DOUBLEVISION ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,</li> <li>Plaintiff,</li> <li>V.</li> <li>NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,</li> <li>Defendants.</li> <li>On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a</li> <li>hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court</li> <li>orders the following:</li> <li>1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.</li> <li>Double Letters and the court of the court and omissions claims against escrow agents</li> </ul>	
9       v.       DISCOVERY ORDER         10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,       Re: Dkt. No. 94, 96         11       Defendants.       11         12       0n April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         14       hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court         15       orders the following:         16       1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
9       v.         10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,         11       Defendants.         12       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         14       hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court         15       orders the following:         16       1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
10       NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,         11       Defendants.         12       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         14       hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court         15       orders the following:         16       1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
11       Defendants.         12       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         13       On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a         14       hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court         15       orders the following:         16       1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.         17       2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
<ul> <li>On April 7, 2015, the parties filed two Joint Letters. Dkt. Nos. 94, 96. The Court held a</li> <li>hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court</li> <li>orders the following:</li> <li>1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.</li> <li>2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>hearing on the matters on April 10, 2015. For the reasons discussed at the hearing, the Court</li> <li>orders the following:</li> <li>1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.</li> <li>2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>orders the following:</li> <li>1. Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.</li> <li>2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Plaintiff's request for additional depositions is DENIED.</li> <li>Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents</li> </ol>	
17 2. Defendant shall produce a list of (a) error and omissions claims against escrow agents	
18 from 2005 to 2010 for bad-faith handling of escrow accounts handled by Mr. Marcus	5
To a from 2000 to 2010 for our futur hundring of esorow accounts hundred by Mir. Marcus	;
19 (b) the date of each claim; and (c) the state in which each claim was filed.	
20 3. Defendant shall produce all complaints filed in court in the last five years alleging	
21 errors and omissions claims for bad-faith failure to settle.	
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23 Dated: April 10, 2015	
24	
25 JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge	
26	
27	
28	

United States District Court Northern District of California