1
2
3
4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6

7   KIMBERLY BOHNERT,   Case No. 14-cv-02854-WHO
            Plaintiff,

8

9   v.   **ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY
                                             DISPUTE REGARDING DEPOSITION
10 THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP   SCHEDULING**
    OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,
   Re: Dkt. No. 59
11   Defendants.

12

13   The parties have filed a joint dispute statement regarding a dispute over scheduling

14 depositions. Dkt. No. 59. On March 25, 2015, plaintiff provided defendants a list of nine

15 witnesses that she wished to depose. As of April 8, 2015, defendants had offered dates for two of

16 the witnesses. Defendants state that they expect to be able to complete the scheduling this week,

17 except for the witnesses who are unavailable this week due to spring break, whose depositions will

18 be scheduled as soon as possible.

19   The parties should continue to meet and confer to schedule the remaining depositions at

20 issue. The depositions should be scheduled by April 17, 2015, which will be three and a half

21 weeks since plaintiff first identified the witnesses. That is more than enough time to find suitable

22 dates.

23   **IT IS SO ORDERED**.

24 Dated: April 9, 2015

25

26   WILLIAM H. ORRICK
                                             United States District Judge
27

28