Schiff

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Eliot S. Jubelirer, CSB #61654 ejubelirer@schiffhardin.com Rocky N. Unruh, CSB #84049 runruh@schiffhardin.com One Market Spear Street Tower, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415-901-8700 Facsimile: 415-901-8701 BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS E. Mabry Rogers ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application submitted)		
8 9 10 11 12	mrogers@babc.com D. Bryan Thomas ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application submitted) dbthomas@babc.com One Federal Place 1819 Fifth Ave. North Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: 205-521-8000 Facsimile: 205-521-8800	to be	
13 14	Attorneys for Use Plaintiff SHARED SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, INC. (PULLMAN)		
15 16 17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18 19 20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use and benefit of SHARED SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, INC. (PULLMAN), a corporation,	Case No. 3:14-CV-02871-RS	
21	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
22 23	v. AMEC ENVIRONMENT &	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
23	INFRASTRUCTURE, INC., a corporation; and ZURICH AMERICAN	Complaint Filed: June 20, 2014	
25	INŜURANCE COMPANY, a corporation,	Current CMC Date: February 12, 2015	
26	Defendants.		
27	Plaintiff Shared Systems Technolo	www.Inc. (Pullman) ("SST") and defendant	
28 CHIFF HARDIN LLP	Plaintiff, Shared Systems Technology, Inc. (Pullman) ("SST"), and defendant CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS		
ATTORNEYS AT LAW San Francisco	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. ("AMEC") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and
through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to (1) an additional 60-day
continuance of the Case Management Conference ("CMC") (and all dates associated
therewith), currently set for February 12, 2015, and (2) an additional extension of
Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint from January 20, 2015, to and including
March 20, 2015.<sup>1</sup> The Parties' stipulation is based on the following facts:

SST filed a Complaint to Recover on Payment Bond (Miller Act)
 ("Complaint") on June 20, 2014. The case was initially assigned to Magistrate Elizabeth
 LaPorte.

2. The action arises out of a federal construction project to stabilize and repair
 exterior walls of the cellhouse on Alcatraz Island. SST was a sub-subcontractor on the
 project; AMEC was the general contractor; and Zurich issued the Miller Act payment
 bond.

SST commenced this action in June to stop the running of the statute of
 limitations. However, at the time the action was commenced, the parties planned to
 participate in a multi-party mediation session before mediator, Randall Wulff of Wulff
 Quinby Sochynsky. After the mediation session before Mr. Wulff, the Parties negotiated
 basic terms for a settlement that, if finalized, will result in a dismissal of this action.

4. The settlement negotiated by the Parties has taken additional time to
 finalize and document because it involves a complex liquidation, sharing and pass through agreement. The settlement is complex because it involves the Contract Disputes
 Act, the application of relevant FAR provisions, ongoing litigation between AMEC and
 the subcontractor with which SST contracted, and this pending case under the Miller
 Act.

25

5.

The Parties and the subcontractor with which SST contracted have

26

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendant, Zurich American Insurance Company ("Zurich"), has been served with the Complaint but has not yet entered an appearance given the ongoing settlement negotiations, in an effort to minimize attorneys' fees. SST has agreed to extend both Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint.

1 continued to negotiate and exchange support for their claims under the Contract 2 Disputes Act. The Parties are awaiting final support for one of the claims asserted by the 3 subcontractor with which SST contracted. The Parties assure the Court that their 4 settlement is still on course, and they expect to finalize a settlement agreement within 5 the next 14 days. 6. All defendants have been served with the Complaint, and there have been 6 7 three prior stipulations extending their time to respond. Defendants' response was due 8 on January 20, 2015, but no response was filed because it appeared the settlement would 9 soon be finalized. 10 7. On July 29, 2014, SST filed a declination of assignment to Magistrate 11 LaPorte, and the case was thereafter assigned to this Court. 12 8. On July 31, 2014, the Clerk issued a notice setting the initial CMC in this 13 Court for October 2, 2014. 14 9. On September 8, 2014, Judge Richard Seeborg entered an Order extending 15 Defendants' time to respond to November 21, 2014 and continuing the CMC until 16 December 11, 2014. 17 10. On November 18, 2014, Judge Seeborg entered an Order extending 18 Defendants' time to respond to January 20, 2015 and continuing the CMC until February 19 12, 2015. 20 11. The Parties wish to avoid the time and expense of responding to the 21 Complaint, making initial Rule 26 disclosures, engaging in a Rule 26(f) conference, and 22 preparing for and attending the CMC, while they finalize and document the settlement 23 they have negotiated. This settlement, when finalized and documented, will result in a 24 dismissal of this case. 25 12. The Parties, therefore, respectfully request that: (1) Defendants' time to 26 respond to the Complaint be extended to and including March 20, 2015; (2) the CMC be 27 continued for 60 days, until early April, 2015 on a date convenient to the Court; and (3) 28 the dates for filing the Rule 26(f) report, completing initial disclosures, and filing the CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS

1	Joint CMC statement be continued until one week before the new CMC date.	
2	Dated: February 2, 2015	Respectfully submitted,
3		SCHIFF HARDIN LLP
4		
5		By: <u>/s/ Rocky N. Unruh</u>
6		Rocky N. Unruh (SBN 84049) One Market Plaza, Spear Street Tower
7		32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
8		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415-901-8700 Facsimile: 415-901-8701
9		BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP
10		E. Mabry Rogers ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)
11		mrogers@babc.com D. Bryan Thomas ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application
12		to be submitted) dbthomas@babc.com
13		One Federal Place 1819 Fifth Ave.
14		North Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: 205-521-8000 Facsimile: 205-521-8800
15		
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff SHARED SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, INC.
17		(PULLMAN)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 4 - CASE NO 3:14-CV-02871-RS
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	STIPULATION ANI	- 4 - CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS D [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

1	Dated: February 2, 2015	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON
2		LLP
3		
4		By:/s/ Holly Gaudreau
5		Holly Gaudreau (SBN 209114) hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com Eighth Floor, Two Embarcadero Center
6		San Francisco, CA 94111
7		Telephone: 415-576-0200 Facsimile: 415-576-0300
8		Chad V. Theriot ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to
9		be submitted) ctheriot@kilpatricktownsend.com
10		Elizabeth H. Crabtree ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)
11		lcrabtree@kilpatricktownsend.com 11000 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2800
12		Atlanta, GA 30309-4528 Telephone: 404-815-6500 Facsimile: 404-815-6555
13		
14		Attorneys for Defendants AMEC ENVIRONMENT &
15		INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		_
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	STIPULATION AND [PROPC	- 5 - CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS DSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
		AND EXTENDING HIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

1	ORDER		
2	Pursuant to the Parties' stipulation and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY		
3	ORDERED that:		
4	1. Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint shall be extended to and		
5	including March 20, 2015;		
6	2. The Case Management Conference shall be continued from February 5,		
7	April 9, 2015 2015, until at 10:00 a.m.; and		
8	3. All other deadlines associated with the Case Management Conference,		
9	including the filing of the Rule 26(f) report, completing initial disclosures, and filing the		
10	Joint Case Management Conference statement, shall be continued until one week before		
11	the new CMC date. The Parties shall comply with this Court's Standing Order re: Initial		
12	Case Management and the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of		
13	California re: Contents of Joint Case Management Statements.		
14	21181		
15	Dated: February 3 , 2015 Richard Seeborg		
16	44926-0000 United States District Judge		
17	SF\321339340.1		
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
22			
23			
2 <del>4</del> 25			
26			
27			
28			
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Attorneys At Law	- 6 - CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		
San Francisco	AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		