

amended complaint, the Court cannot determine whether the amended complaint cures any of the
other problems identified in the order of dismissal with leave to amend. Further leave to amend will
not be granted because it would be futile: the order of dismissal with leave to amend identified the
deficiencies in the original complaint and Mr. Moye was unable or unwilling to cure them in his
amended complaint. There is no reason to believe that, with further leave to amend, he would be
able to present a coherent statement of his claim(s).

Although the Court does not understand the vast majority of Mr. Moye's allegations, one of
the allegations appears to be that Fidelity National Title Company assisted in Lydia Baca's purchase
of the property at 40-42 Parsons Street in San Francisco. *See* Docket # 10 at 7-8. Insofar as Mr.
Moye is trying to assert claims about the purchase of the Parsons Street property, he has failed to
show that such claims are not barred by the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel, despite
the Court's admonition that he had to do so. *See* Docket # 7 at 5.

For the foregoing reasons, and the reasons stated in the order of dismissal with leave to
amend, this action is DISMISSED for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. In
light of the dismissal, all pending motions are denied as moot. The Clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: October 8, 2014

United States District Judge