

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE HERNANDEZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF RICHMOND, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-03079-JST

**ORDER VACATING MOTION
HEARING**

Re: ECF No. 4

Before the Court is Defendants City of Richmond and Chuck Whitney’s motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s complaint. ECF No. 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds that the parties’ briefs have thoroughly addressed the issues, rendering the matter suitable for disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter, currently scheduled for September 18, 2014, is hereby VACATED.

If, however, any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has been licensed to practice law for four or fewer years, and who has not previously presented argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Counsel shall confer with each other, and the party requesting the rescheduling of the hearing shall identify the upcoming available dates on the Court’s calendar at which all counsel are available for the hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 5, 2014



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge