1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	Northern District of California
10	San Francisco Division
11	JOSE DANIEL CASTILLO-ANTONIO, No. 3:14-cv-03320-LB
12	Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE v.
13	CUAUHTEMOC BARRON, MOHAMMED
14	VAHDATPOUR, CHRISTINE VAHDATPOUR, and DOES 1-50, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	This is an ADA case that is subject to the case-management deadlines set forth in this district's
18	General Order 56. The plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint on April 6, 2015. The plaintiff
19	filed a proof of service, but no defendant has appeared (except that one defendant acknowledged
20	service). Nothing on the docket suggests any activity that is required by General Order 56 (such as a
21	joint site inspection or a "Notice of Need for Mediation"). (See General Order 56 ¶¶ 3, 6.) Under the
22	circumstances, the court orders the plaintiff to show cause in writing by September 29, 2015 why
23	this action should not dismissed without prejudice for his failure to prosecute it. The response must
24	include any request for a change in the scheduling order at ECF No. 4 and include the plaintiff's
25	plan for proceeding in the case.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Dated: September 10, 2015  LAUREL BEELER
28	United States Magistrate Judge

No. 3:14-cv-03320-LB ORDER