22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	DANIEL LEVIN, ET AL.,	No. 3:14-cv-03352-CRB						
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ENTERTAIN RULE 60(b)						
13	V.	MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT						
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	JUDGMENT						
15	Defendant.							
16								
17	Defendant the City and County of San Francisco moves for an order that the Court							
18	will entertain a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) motion for relief from the Court's							
19	judgment of October 21, 2014 (the "Judgment"). Mot. (dkt. 104) at 1. In light of Plaintiffs'							
20	Motion to Dismiss the Appeal for Lack of Jurisdiction (Mootness) now pending in the Ninth							
21	Circuit, see Opp'n (dkt. 107), Ex. B. (dkt. 107-2), the Court DENIES Defendant's motion							

without prejudice.

If the Ninth Circuit grants Plaintiffs' motion and determines that the appeal is moot, the Court will entertain a Rule 60(b) motion at a later date if the Ninth Circuit has not already vacated the Judgment. See Cammermeyer v. Perry, 97 F.3d 1235, 1239 (9th Cir. 1996). The Court may also revisit the case in any other way made appropriate by either the Ninth

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Circu	it's disp	osition	of the	pending	appeal	or by th	ne future	action o	of the	parties.
				1	I I					

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 23, 2015

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE