James A. Michel 1 State Bar No. 184730 2912 Diamond St. #373 2 San Francisco CA 94131 415/ 239-4949 3 (Fax 239-0156) attyjmichel@gmail.com 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 ALEXANDRA POLAKOVIC 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 9 ALEXANDRA POLAKOVIC, an Case No. C 3:14-cv-03424 MEJ 10 individual, 11 Plaintiff. STIPULATION WITH PROPOSED ORDER TO ALLOW FILING OF 12 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT v. 13 **ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT** PROCESSING, INC., a California 14 corporation; PRESCOTT Judge: Hon. Maria-Elena James WOODFORD, individually and in his Ctrm: Courtroom B, 15th Floor 15 official capacity, et al., Loc'n: 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco CA 94102 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 The parties, Plaintiff, ALEXANDRA POLAKOVIC, and Defendants, 20 ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT PROCESSING, INC. and PRESCOTT WOODFORD, 21 each through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to the following: 22 1. Defendants ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT PROCESSING, INC. ("EDP") and 23 WOODFORD would like to remove certain affirmative defenses from their answer 24 filed on 11/21/2014, to avoid a motion to strike affirmative defenses by plaintiff; 25 2. Plaintiff would like to add two paragraphs to her complaint regarding 26 entitlement to injunctive relief. A copy of the proposed first amended complaint is 27 28

1	attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The proposed new allegations are paragraph numbers
2	98 and 99, on page 25 of Exhibit 1.
3	3. Pursuant to Case Management Order, the parties have until March 2, 2015
4	to file amended pleadings.
5	4. Pursuant to the foregoing, the parties request an order from the Court as
6	follows:
7	(a) allowing plaintiff to file a first amended complaint (FAC) forthwith;
8	(b) order defendants to file an answer to the FAC by March 13, 2015; and
9	(c) giving plaintiff until March 17, 2015 to file a motion to strike defendants'
10	answer to the FAC.
11	
12	DATED: March 2, 2015 /s/ James A. Michel
13	JAMES A. MICHEL Attorney for Plaintiff
14	ALEXAŇDRA POLAKOVIC
15	DATED: March 2, 2015 /s/ Jonathan M. Blute
16	JONATHAN M. BLUTE Murphy, Pearson, Bradley & Feeney
17	Attorneys for Defendants  ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT  EDECTROSING INC. APPLICATE
18	PROCESSING, INC. and PRESCOTT WOODFORD
19	Signature Attestation pursuant to L.R. 5-1 (i)(3)
20	James Michel hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of the document
21	has been obtained from each of the other Signatories, which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on the document.
22	
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	
25	DATED:
26	HON. MARIA-ELENA JAMES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	
28	