

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TODD S. GLASSEY and MICHAEL E. MCNEIL,
Plaintiffs,

No. C 14-03629 WHA

v.

MICROSEMI INC, THE IETF AND ISOC, AND
THE US GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY
PARTNERS (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED
TO APPLE, CISCO, EBAY/PAYPAL, GOOGLE,
JUNIPER NETWORKS, MICROSOFT,
NETFLIX, AND ORACLE), USPTO ALJ PETER
CHEN ESQ., AND TWO INDIVIDUALS (MARK
HASTINGS AND ERIK VAN DER KAAAY) AS
"NAMED DOES,"


**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
CISCO'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

Defendants.

In September 2014, Cisco Systems, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss. No certificate of service was appended to the motion. No opposition or response was timely filed. Accordingly, by **NOON ON OCTOBER 16**, Cisco shall file a certificate of service. *Pro se* plaintiffs Todd Glassey and Michael McNeil have until **OCTOBER 24, 2014, AT NOON**, to show cause why Cisco should not be dismissed. Cisco's motion may be granted if no response is timely filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2014.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE