

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

URIEL MARCUS and BENEDICT
VERCELES, on behalf of others
similarly situated,

No. C 14-03824 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER DENYING PRO HAC
VICE APPLICATION OF
ATTORNEY OMAR ROSALES**


APPLE INC,

Defendant.

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Omar Rosales (Dkt. No. 36) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Texas” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Texas). While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 25, 2014.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE