28

1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 URIEL MARCUS, BENEDICT VERCELES, and Others Similarly 9 Situated, No. C 14-03824 WHA 10 Plaintiff, 11 SUPPLEMENT TO ORDER RE v. PLAINTIFFS' DEPOSITIONS 12 APPLE INC, 13 Defendant. 14 15 The Court wishes to add that plaintiffs' failure to attend their depositions by the April 3 16 deadline will result in an adverse inference. Apple is required to comply with the previous 17 order's deadlines, regardless of whether plaintiffs sit for their depositions. If plaintiffs fail to sit 18 for their depositions by the deadline, they will forfeit their right to interpose testimony contrary 19 to the submissions by Apple. 20 Plaintiffs' counsel should not have waited until the eleventh hour to seek an extension 21 and has not shown good cause for a postponement. Nevertheless a postponement was granted 22 until April 3. No more extensions will be granted. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 Dated: March 27, 2015. 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE