

**FILED**

DEC 03 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HERBERT FRANKLIN WILSON,  
Plaintiff,

Case No. 14-cv-03851-JST

v.

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

FOSTER WHEELER LLC,  
Defendant.

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	The Court does not anticipate further amendment of the pleadings
Fact discovery cut-off	4/17/15
Deadline to file dispositive motions	5/22/15
Opposition briefs to dispositive motions	6/19/15
Reply briefs in support of dispositive motions	7/2/15
Expert disclosures	9/18/15
Expert rebuttal	10/9/15
Expert discovery cut-off	10/23/15

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference statement due	12/1/15
Pretrial conference	12/11/15 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	1/11/16 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	20


Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge