1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Robert W. Stone (CA Bar No. 163513)			
2	robert w. stone (CA Bai No. 105313) robertstone@quinnemanuel.com Andrew J. Bramhall (CA Bar No. 253115)			
3	andrewbramhall@quinnemanuel.com Brice C. Lynch (CA Bar No. 288567)			
4	bricelynch@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor			
5	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 T: 650.801.5000			
6	F: 650.801.5100			
7	Attorneys for IBM CORPORATION			
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE INC.,	CASE NO. 3:14-cv-03933-JST		
13	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY CASE DEADLINES		
14	VS.	PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6-2		
15	IBM CORPORATION,	Hon. Jon S. Tigar		
16	Defendant.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
26				
27 28				
20		CASE NO. 3:14-cv-03933-JST		
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY CASE DEADLINES PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6-2 Dockets.Justia.com			
	Dockets.Justia.com			

1

STIPULATED MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Twin Peaks Software Inc. ("Twin Peaks") and
Defendant International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM"), by and through their respective
counsel of record hereby stipulate and request that the Court enter an order allowing the parties to
take depositions relating to claim construction of third parties that have been duly noticed by IBM,
Twin Peaks' 30(b)(6) witness on claim construction issues, and the parties' respective experts after
August 14, 2015, the currently scheduled cut-off for claim construction discovery. (Dkt. No. 29.)
In support of this request, the parties state as follows:

9 WHEREAS, on January 7, 2015, the Court entered its scheduling order in this case, which
10 set deadlines through the December 8, 2015 claim construction hearing, including a claim
11 construction discovery cut-off on August 14, 2015 (Dkt. No. 29);

WHEREAS, pursuant to the scheduling order, IBM timely served third party subpoenas
seeking documents and testimony on the prosecuting attorneys of the patent-in-suit and their law
firm on July 15, 2015, and a 30(b)(6) deposition notice on Twin Peaks regarding claim
construction issues on July 21, 2015;

WHEREAS, counsel for Twin Peaks is representing the prosecuting attorneys of thepatent-in-suit and their law firm with regard to IBM's third party subpoenas;

WHEREAS, counsel for Twin Peaks and counsel for IBM met and conferred regarding the
scheduling of the third party prosecuting attorney depositions and the 30(b)(6) deposition of Twin
Peaks regarding claim construction, and counsel for both parties agreed that, due to the schedules
of both the witnesses and Twin Peaks' counsel, these depositions would be held after August 14,
2015 but before the September 30, 2015 deadline for Twin Peaks' opening claim construction
brief;

WHEREAS, counsel for both parties have further agreed that IBM will not be precluded or
restricted from later deposing any witness Twin Peaks offers in response to IBM's 30(b)(6) notice
on claim construction issues on other 30(b)(6) topics or individually;

27

28

WHEREAS, both Twin Peaks and IBM also indicated in their Joint Claim Construction
 and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3 (Dkt. No. 39) that each party would
 submit expert declarations in support of their claim construction positions;

4

WHEREAS, Twin Peaks and IBM expect to submit expert declarations in support of their
claim construction positions with their initial claim construction briefs under the Court's
scheduling order (Dkt. No. 29);

WHEREAS, counsel for Twin Peaks and counsel for IBM have met and conferred
regarding the scheduling of depositions of the parties' respective experts, and counsel for both
parties have agreed that these depositions should take place after the submission of their respective
declarations;

WHEREAS, Twin Peaks and IBM have therefore agreed that IBM would take the
deposition of Twin Peaks' claim construction expert after September 30, 2015 but before October
21, 2015, and Twin Peaks would take the deposition of IBM's claim construction expert after
October 21, 2015 but before October 30, 2015;

WHEREAS, as a result of discussions between the parties regarding the aforementioned
matters, Twin Peaks and IBM have agreed to jointly request that the Court allow the five
depositions identified above to take place after the August 14, 2015 close of claim construction
discovery;

WHEREAS, this is the second time the parties have sought to make any modifications to
the Court's scheduling order and, prior to this motion, IBM and Twin Peaks have made only two
requests to extend deadlines in this case (Dkt. Nos. 10 and 33);

WHEREAS, the parties' proposed extension for claim construction depositions does not affect the dates of the technology tutorial (Nov. 17, 2015) or the claim construction hearing (Dec. 8, 2015), nor does it reduce the time available to the Court to review materials between the conclusion of claim construction briefing (Oct. 30, 2015) and the claim construction hearing. The proposed modifications also do not affect any deadlines for filing or lodging materials with the Court;

28

WHEREAS, the parties do not believe the extension sought hereby will prejudice either
 party or result in undue delay;

3 WHEREAS, counsel for IBM, Andrew J. Bramhall, has submitted a supporting declaration
4 with this stipulation pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2(a);

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the forgoing, IBM and Twin Peaks by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and request that the Court allow the parties to conduct the following depositions after the close of claim construction discovery on August 14, 2015: (1) the depositions of the two attorneys who prosecuted the patent-in-suit and their law firm; (2) the 30(b)(6) deposition of Twin Peaks regarding claim construction issues; (3) the deposition by IBM of any expert who submits a declaration in support of Twin Peaks' claim construction positions; and (4) the deposition by Twin Peaks of any expert who submits a declaration in support of IBM's claim construction positions.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	DATED: August 13, 2015	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
3		SULLIVAN, LLP
4		
5		By <u>/s/ Andrew J. Bramhall</u> Andrew J. Bramhall
6		Attorney for Defendant International Business Machines Corporation
7		1
8	DATED: August 13, 2015	HAUSFELD LLP
9		
10		By /s/ Bruce J. Wecker
11		Bruce J. Wecker Attorneys for Plaintiff Twin Peaks Software Inc
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-4- CASE NO. 3:14-cv-03933-JST
		JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY CASE DEADLINES PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6-2



1	FILER'S ATTESTATION	
2	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i) regarding signatures, I, Andrew J. Bramhall, attest that	
3	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I	
4	declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing	
5	is true and correct.	
6		
7		
8	DATED: August 13, 2015 By <i>/s/ Andrew J. Bramhall</i> Andrew J. Bramhall	
9		
10	Attorney for Defendant International Business Machines Corporation	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21 22		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-	CASE NO. 3:14-cv-03933-JST	
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY CASE DEADLINES PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6-2	