1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 GENERAL EMPLOYEES TRUST FUND Case No. 14-cv-04054 NC and BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF 10 GENERAL EMPLOYEES TRUST FUND, ORDER GRANTING MOTION BY RESPONDENT YUL HERMES 11 Petitioners, FOR ISSUANCE OF ORDER SETTING SEQUENCE AND 12 SCOPE OF INITIAL v. DISCLOSURES, IF ANY 13 YUL HERMES, an individual, Re: Dkt. No. 32 14 Respondent. 15 This is an action brought under § 301 of the Labor Management Relations Act, as 16 amended, 29 U.S.C. § 185, to enforce an arbitration award against respondent Yul Hermes 17 on an alter ego theory. Dkt. No. 1. Hermes now moves for an order that the action is 18 19 exempt from the initial disclosure requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(A), or in the alternative, for an order altering the sequence and scope of Hermes's 20 initial disclosures. Dkt. No. 32. Petitioners oppose the motion. Dkt. No. 40. The Court 21 22 finds the motion suitable for resolution without oral argument, see Civ. L.R. 7-1(b), and GRANTS the motion as follows: 23 The Court finds that this action is exempt from initial disclosures under Federal Rule 24 25 of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(B)(ix). However, the Court has not stayed discovery and nothing in this order prevents the parties from proceeding with discovery. 26 27 In his motion, Hermes asserts that petitioners have improperly refused to respond to discovery requests propounded by Hermes on the basis of the pendency of this motion. 28 Case No. 14-cv-04054 NC ORDER RE: INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Dkt. Nos. 32, 42. The parties are reminded that, as set forth in the undersigned Magistrate
Judge's civil standing order, discovery disputes must be presented to the Court by filing a
joint statement of five pages or less. Prior to the filing of such a statement, the parties must
meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Date: February 19, 2015
Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge