

**FILED**

FEB 18 2015

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAMARIA DELA VEGA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

SEE'S CANDIES, INC.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-04449-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	4/1/15
Mediation deadline	5/31/15
Expert disclosures	8/25/15
Expert rebuttal	9/25/15
Fact and expert discovery cut-off	10/30/15
Deadline to file dispositive motions	11/20/15
Pretrial conference statement due	2/2/16
Pretrial conference	2/12/16 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	3/7/16 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Ten

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

This case will be tried to a jury.

The pre-trial conference will likely be conducted at the Oakland federal courthouse. At the pre-trial conference, the Court will set time limits for the parties' presentation of evidence and argument.


Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/18/2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge