Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff,

v.

NAVJOT AHLUWALIA,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-04693-MEJ

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On October 22, 2014, Defendant Navjot Ahluwalia removed this unlawful detainer action from Contra Costa County Superior Court. However, an unlawful detainer action does not arise under federal law but is purely a creature of California law. Wells Fargo Bank v. Lapeen, 2011 WL 2194117, at *3 (N.D. Cal. June 6, 2011); Wescom Credit Union v. Dudley, 2010 WL 4916578, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 22, 2010). Thus, it appears that jurisdiction is lacking and the case should be remanded to state court. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Defendant to show cause why this case should not be remanded to the Contra Costa County Superior Court. Defendant shall file a declaration by November 6, 2014, and the Court shall conduct a hearing on November 20, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom B, 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California. In the declaration, Defendant must address how this Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's unlawful detainer claim.

Defendant should be mindful that an anticipated federal defense or counterclaim is not sufficient to confer jurisdiction. Franchise Tax Bd. of Cal. v. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 10 (1983); Berg v. Leason, 32 F.3d 422, 426 (9th Cir. 1994). "A case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense, . . . even if the defense is anticipated in the plaintiff's complaint, and even if both parties admit that the defense is the only question truly

United States District Court Northern District of California

at issue in the case." ARCO Envtl. Remediation, LLC v. Dep't of Health and Envtl. Quality of the
State of Montana, 213 F.3d 1108, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000); see also Valles v. Ivy Hill Corp., 410 F.3d
1071, 1075 (9th Cir. 2005) ("A federal law defense to a state-law claim does not confer
jurisdiction on a federal court, even if the defense is that of federal preemption and is anticipated
in the plaintiff's complaint."). Thus, any anticipated defense, such as a claim under the Protecting
Tenants at Foreclosure Act ("PTFA"), Pub.L. No. 111-22, § 702, 123 Stat. 1632 (2009), is not a
valid ground for removal. See e.g. Aurora Loan Serv., LLC v. Montoya, 2011 WL 5508926, at *4
(E.D. Cal. Nov. 9, 2011); SD Coastline LP v. Buck, 2010 WL 4809661, at *2–3 (S.D. Cal. Nov.19,
2010); Wescom Credit Union v. Dudley, 2010 WL 4916578, at 2–3 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 22, 2010);
Aurora Loan Serv., LLC v. Martinez, 2010 WL 1266887, at * 1 (N.D. Cal. March 29, 2010).
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 27, 2014

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge

28

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 7 Case No. 14-cv-04693-MEJ Plaintiff, 8 v. **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 9 NAVJOT AHLUWALIA, 10 Defendant. 11 12 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 13 That on 10/27/2014, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing 14 said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery 15 receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 16 17 Navjot Ahluwalia 1371 Regan Way Brentwood, CA 94513 18 19 20 Dated: 10/27/2014 21 22 Richard W. Wieking Clerk, United States District Court 23 24 25 Chris Nathan, Deputy Clerk to the 26 Honorable MARIA-ELENA JAMES 27