

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAIG R. DUTTWEILER,
Plaintiff,
v.
TRIUMPH MOTORCYCLES (AMERICA)
LTD.,
Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-04809-HSG

ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES

Re: Dkt. No. 23

On February 20, 2015, the parties submitted a stipulation requesting extensions to three deadlines: (1) the plaintiff’s February 19, 2015 deadline to file a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”); (2) the defendant’s deadline to respond to the FAC; and (3) the parties’ March 2, 2015 deadline to file a Joint Case Management Statement.

The Court notes that plaintiff’s deadline to file a FAC had already passed by the time the parties’ stipulation was filed. It is improper to request an extension after failing to comply with a Court order. The plaintiff does not explain why an extension of time could not have been requested sooner or why the 30 day period afforded the plaintiff to file the FAC was insufficient. In short, the parties have provided no good cause to move the identified deadlines.

Notwithstanding this failure, the Court will set the schedule as follows:

First, plaintiff will file the FAC no later than March 13, 2015. No further extensions will be granted.


Second, the parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement complying with the Court's February 13, 2015 reassignment order no later than March 27, 2015.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Third, defendant will file a response to the FAC no later than April 30, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/20/2015


HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge