1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	JUAN SARAVIA, individually and on No. C 14-05003 WHA behalf of all others similarly situated,
11	Plaintiff,
12	v.
13	DYNAMEX, INC., DYNAMEX FLEET REQUEST FOR RESPONSE RE SERVICES, INC., DYNAMEX HEARING ON CROSS-MOTIONS
14	SERVICES, INC., DYNAMEX OPERATIONS EAST, INC., and DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC.,
15	Defendants.
16 17	/
17	Although the order setting a schedule for the upcoming cross-motions for summary
10	judgment did not specify a hearing date, defense counsel should have immediately recognized
20	that the hearing on any motions would be scheduled for December 1, rather than waiting nearly
21	one month to raise the issue. The Court is inclined to schedule a specially-set hearing on the
22	morning of November 30 and is inclined against holding a hearing on December 15, which
23	would put too much pressure on the trial date. By WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 9 AT NOON,
24	defense counsel shall state the nature of the lead attorney's travel plans, including when those
25	plans were scheduled.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	
28	Dated: November 7, 2016 WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Dockets.Justia.com