

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUAN SARAVIA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. C 14-05003 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

DYNAMEX, INC., DYNAMEX FLEET
SERVICES, INC., DYNAMEX
OPERATIONS EAST, INC., and
DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC.,


**REQUEST FOR BRIEFING RE
TRANSPORTATION WORKERS
EXEMPTION TO THE
FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT**

Defendants.

_____ /
In *Circuit City Stores, Inc. v. Adams*, 532 U.S. 105, 119 (2001), the Supreme Court held that Section 1 of the Federal Arbitration Act exempts contracts of employment of transportation workers from the coverage of that act. It appears that plaintiff, as well as the possible members of the conditionally-certified collective, would be transportation workers within the meaning of that exemption. Nevertheless, both sides briefed defendants' motion to compel arbitration under the presumption that the FAA applied. By **OCTOBER 27 AT NOON**, both sides shall submit briefs **NOT TO EXCEED TEN PAGES**, addressing whether the transportation workers exemption applies in this case and, if so, what the implications of its application are.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 22, 2015.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE