

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUAN SARAVIA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. C 14-05003 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

DYNAMEX, INC., DYNAMEX FLEET
SERVICES, INC., DYNAMEX
OPERATIONS EAST, INC., and
DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC.,

**ORDER RE TRANSPORTATION
WORKERS EXEMPTION**


Defendants.

_____ /

The Court would be inclined to hold that the contracts at issue are “contracts of employment” within the meaning of the FAA’s transportation-workers exemption (regardless of whether the drivers are independent contractors or not under the FLSA). There seems, however, to be no need to decide that issue in this case, because it will not change the basic body of law to be applied to the arbitration issue. Therefore, for now, the Court will make no ruling on the exemption issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 12, 2015.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE