Telecom Asset Management, LLC v. Cellco Partnership et al

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	TELECOM ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC,
6	Plaintiff, No. C 14-05021 WHA
7	V.
8	CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, d/b/a VERIZON ORDER RE DOCKET NUMBER 16 WIRELESS, VERIZON SOURCING LLC.
9	WIRELESS, VERIZON SOURCING LLC, VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
10 11	Defendants.
11	/
12	Defendants filed a motion to transfer to the Southern District of New York based on a
14	forum-selection clause, noticed for a hearing on January 8.
15	On December 17, plaintiff filed a declaration from Steven Strong, the president of
16	plaintiff Telecom Asset Management, LLC. Appended to that declaration were ten exhibits.
17	Mr. Strong "redacted" portions of those exhibits, purportedly based on "confidentiality
18	obligations" and "privacy interests" (Dkt. No. 16).
19	This was improper. Plaintiff's counsel should have filed an administrative motion for
20	leave to file under seal only the narrowly-tailored portions that were "privileged, protectable as a
21	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." Civil Local Rule 79-5(b).
22	Defendants could then file a supporting declaration, if appropriate. Civil Local Rule 79-5(e).
23	Plaintiff has until DECEMBER 19 AT 8:00 A.M. to fix this mistake. If nothing should be
24	sealed, then plaintiff shall promptly publicly filed the Strong declaration and accompanying
25	exhibits. If nothing more is filed by plaintiff, docket number 16 may (or may not) be stricken.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Dated: December 18, 2014. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	CIVILD STATES DISTRICT JODGE

Dockets.Justia.com