

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al.,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

10 Defendants.

11
12 IN RE UBER FCRA LITIGATION

13
14 HAKAN YUCESOIY, et al.,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

18 Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-03826-EMC

Case No. 14-cv-05200-EMC

Case No. 15-cv-00262-EMC

**ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST
TO EXPEDITE THE BRIEFING
SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO STAY**

O'Connor Docket No. 440

In re Uber FCRA Litigation Docket No. 141

Yucesoy Docket No. 167

19
20 On December 30, 2015, Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. filed a motion for
21 administrative relief to expedite the briefing schedule on Uber's motion to stay the Court's
22 December 23, 2015 order on Plaintiffs' motions to enjoin Uber's communications with class and
23 putative class members. Plaintiffs are to file any opposition to Uber's motion to expedite the
24 briefing schedule on the motion to stay by **January 4, 2016 at 2:00 P.M.**

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: December 31, 2015

27
28 
EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge